From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Bollard procurement (UNCLASSIFIED)

Date: Wednesday, February 27, 2013 8:19:34 AM

#### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C

Can you take care of this on Monday? I'll be flying in around 11pm that night and need to spend all day Tuesday and whatever time we can on Wednesday to transition your responsibilities in EPT before outprocessing you Weds.

#### Thanks,



#### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Branch Chief - TI Division Projects, Maintenance and Repair

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure

Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy.

----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, February 26, 2013 4:00 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: Fw: Bollard procurement (UNCLASSIFIED)

Can you support one truck on Monday or Tuesday?

---- Original Message -----

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Tuesday, February 26, 2013 05:14 PM Eastern Standard Time

To: (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6)

Cc: (b) (6)


Subject: RE: Bollard procurement (UNCLASSIFIED)

All,

(b) (6) Land I met at the site today. We agreed to construct the panels (b) (5), (b) (7)(E) (b) (5), (b) (7)(E)

We can transport the bollards as soon as next week. Please confirm a pick up date with CBP. Thank you all for the quick response in these matters.

Thank You,



Subject: RE: Bollard procurement (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Gents,

The original RFP did not include any Govt Furnished Materials. At the time, it was the understanding that our Douglas Fence Ph 3 project had exhausted all supplies of bollards. It was only subsequently during negotiations with CJW that it was found that there were additional bollards remaining at Fabens, at least enough to do 115'. (As previously known, no flat plate and bar stock was available). As a means of getting the costs down on CJW's bid, bollards were offered as available as GFM. I remember this discussion well because CJW discussed whether buying the materials much closer or even buying the pre-fabbed panels from Granite would possibly be cheaper than loading and line-hauling "free" bollards from El Paso, TX. In the end CJW decided to accept BGM bollards and that item on their bid was "lined through" (marked out). There is still other metal materials for the fence panels remaining in their cost proposal which I assume accounted for plate, bar, and angles. Of note, this was not made a part of the contract and is not in there (I checked).

CBP is still making available the bollards to CJW which is obviously good news, and by far the most costly material for the fabrication of the fence panels. However, the other metal materials were not offered (because there are none), CJW only removed the bollard price from their bid, and the GFM provision was not written into the final contract.

I'm sorry to be the bearer of bad news, but it appears that CJW needs to procure the plate, bars and angles.

Looking back over the e-mail, I see the following:

"As per the conversation on 2-4-13 the Government confirmed to supply with all the metal materials needed to construct the fence."

I am unaware of the origin of this statement and apologize if there was a misunderstanding.

If additional discussions are warranted, please contact me ASAP. I will be at the Andrade project site at around 11am tomorrow.



(b) (6)
Western Region Program Manager
ECSO, TI Branch
USACE Fort Worth District
office (b) (6)

# bb (b) (6)

----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Monday, February 25, 2013 1:21 PM

To: (b) (6) ; (b) (6) Cc: (b) (6)

Subject: RE: Bollard procurement

the only material we have is the bollards. I'm copying (b) (6) on this from USACE to address the remainder/negotiations

## (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

CTR-LMI

**BPFTI PMO** 

OF: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

BB: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Monday, February 25, 2013 2:14 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Cc: (b) (6)

Subject: RE: Bollard procurement

# Here is confirmed list of each panel:

- \* 2 6 x 6 x 3/16 x 20' 6" Bollards
- \* 6 6 x 6 x 3/16 x 16' 6" Bollards
- \* 2 4 x 4 x ½ x 8' 1-metal strips
- \*  $1 5 \times 8 \times 1/8$  sheet metal panel
- \*  $1 4 \times \frac{1}{2}$ " x 7' flat metal strip
- \* 8 6 x 6 top caps (picture included)

Totals-

- \* 30 6 x 6 x 3/16" x 20' 6" Bollards
- \* 90 6 x 6 x 3/16" x 16' 6" Bollards
- \* 30 4 x 4 x ½" x 8' l-metal strips
- \* 15 5 x 8 x 1/8" sheet metal panel
- \*  $15 4 \times \frac{1}{2}$ " x 7' flat metal strip
- \* 120 6 x 6 top caps (picture included)

# (b) (5), (b) (7)(E)

Thank You,

(b) (6)

CJW Construction Inc.

841 E. Washington Ave. Ste B

Santa Ana, CA 92701

(b) (6) P

(b) (6)

(b) (6) C

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Friday, February 22, 2013 8:10 AM

To: (b) (6)

Ce: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) : (b) (6)

Subject: Re: Bollard procurement

Ok, 18 is workable

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Friday, February 22, 2013 11:05 AM Eastern Standard Time

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); (b) (6)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Bollard procurement

We are not on site today. I will field verify on Monday.

(b) (5)

(b) (5), (b) (7)(E)

(b) (5), (b) (7)(E) I will confirm on Monday am. Thank you for the quick response.

Thank You,

(b) (6)

CJW Construction Inc.

841 E. Washington Ave. Ste B

Santa Ana, CA 92701

(b) (6)

(b) (6) C

From:


Sent: Friday, February 22, 2013 5:12 AM

(b) (6) To:

Cc:

Subject: RE: Bollard procurement

(b) (6) and I talked last night and he gave me the same numbers as you.



If all are in agreement with these numbers, I'll work with time for setting up the pickup. Please confirm the RFP has CJW responsible for fork and loading crew.

thanks

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) CTR-LMI **BPFTI PMO** OF: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)BB: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6) From: Sent: Friday, February 22, 2013 8:04 AM (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Subject: RE: Bollard procurement I believe we should get the "official" distance requirement from the contractor, so should confirm. The design has changed a little bit, but the latest drawings I have indicate the new fence extends from (b) (7)(E) to (b) (7)(E) for a total distance of  $^{(b)}$  feet, (b) (5), (b) (7)(E) feet, but definitely (b) (5), (b) (7)(E) feet unless I'm missing a contractor requirement. (b) (6) , PE, CCE, PMP http://www.lmi.org/images/emailSignature/LMI\_logo.jpg 2000 Corporate Ridge McLean, VA 22102-7805 (b) (6) P Complex Problems. Practical Solutions. www.lmi.org < <a href="http://www.lmi.org/">http://www.lmi.org/</a>> (b) (6) From: Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 4:36 PM To: (b) (6), Cc:

Subject: RE: Bollard procurement



I believe the only items we are to provide is the bollards. I believe the original distance given to me is feet. ((b) (6)), please concur or correct). They are available at the Fabens storage yard in Fabens TX.

will be helping me coordinate this. If I remember, you were to provide the lift which has to be an RT given the sand at this lot.

normally needs two weeks but might be able to do lesss if you are providing the lift. You'll need two flatbeds each with dunnage for 200 pieces. They are actually bundled at 16 pc per bundle and we provide full bundles.

Let me coordinate with and get back with you in morning.

thanks

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

CTR-LMI

**BPFTI PMO** 

OF: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

BB: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: (b) (6) Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 4:24 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: FW: Bollard procurement

Thanks for the call back. Sorry for the inconvenience, but I need the following:
* List of materials supplied
* Lead time to set pickup
* Protocol for driver and forklift (License, ins, etc.)
* Address and contact at storage yard.
We are currently working on the site and would like to procure the materials ASAP, thanks.
I appreciate all the help!!!
Thank You,
(b) (6)
CJW Construction Inc.
841 E. Washington Ave. Ste B
Santa Ana, CA 92701
(b) (6) P
(b) (6) F
(b) (6) C
Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 6940 (20120305)
The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.
http://www.eset.com
Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

To: (b) (6)

Subject: Re: Cost Estimation Tool (UNCLASSIFIED)

Date: Thursday, April 18, 2013 1:07:03 PM

Ok. Let me know when...

---- Original Message -----

From: (b) (6) Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2013 01:05 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Cost Estimation Tool (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Probably need to discuss how you want us to fund the estimator support moving forward.

(b) (6) TI Branch Chief USACE - ECSO (b) (6)

----Original Message----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2013 12:03 PM

To: (b) (6)

Subject: Re: Cost Estimation Tool (UNCLASSIFIED)

Thanks.

---- Original Message -----

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2013 01:01 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Cost Estimation Tool (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

On track to have initial ROMs (with caveats) by early tomorrow morning. Will continue to refine with whatever time is available.

(b) (6) TI Branch Chief USACE - ECSO (b) (6) BB: (b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2013 11:57 AM

To: (b) (6)

Subject: Fw: Cost Estimation Tool

Please see the entire string.... We are now on the hook.

If they set up a meeting to discuss process and have you in the call.

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2013 12:54 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: Re: Cost Estimation Tool

On the first, we would appreciate it.

On the second. Thank you.

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2013 12:52 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: Re: Cost Estimation Tool

We owe you guys a brief on our larger process as well.

We'll push out a NLT date asap.

Thanks

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)


Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2013 12:26 PM Eastern Standard Time


To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Cost Estimation Tool

Ok. I was able to unravel what happened.





Thank you.

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2013 9:14 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Cost Estimation Tool


Folks,

We need a ROM. We need this because we have a requirement to conduct an analysis of alternatives. We do this to help create our TI mission needs that we send to you. I believe that process has existed since 2009.

Please feel free to reference HR House Appropriations Bill (H.R. 2638) "CBP is directed to comply with specifications in the House report for the conduct of analyses of alternatives for effective control of the border, as well as to ensure that CBP identifies and evaluates alternatives proposed by stakeholders as potential substitutes for tactical infrastructure."

Our branch has not been made aware of any changes to this requirement so our assumption is that it still stands.

If a ROM or what we need to support this effort does not exist at FM&E let us know and we will inquire elsewhere.




It is my recommendation that we have a joint list that is updated consistently. We are asking OTIA for a similar list.

CTR-LMI
BPFTI PMO
OF: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
BB: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2013 1:39 PM  To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  Subject: RE: Cost Estimation Tool
(b) (6). (B
Thanks. (b) (5)
(b) (6), (b) (7)
From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2013 1:37 PM  To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  Subject: RE: Cost Estimation Tool
(b) (b) (5)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
CTR-LMI
BPFTI PMO
OF: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
BB: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2013 1:00 PM

BW23 FOIA CBP 003556



FM&E used a cost estimation tool to support the Naco Fence Replacement cost estimate brief to Ops Division a few months ago. FM&E was able to use this tool to generate a unit cost for fence replacement in the project area.

Thanks.

#### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Director-Border Patrol TI

SPPA-ORMB

Headquarters Border Patrol

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Office

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) BB

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: FW: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

Wednesday, April 10, 2013 8:56:13 AM Date:

You OK with this? never responded to so I tried to help:)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (W) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (bberry) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

----Original Message----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, April 09, 2013 5:17 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

That works, thanks!

#### Respectfully,

#### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Program Manager T.I M/R Program Management Office Facilities Management and Engineering

Yuma & El Centro Sectors Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a strategic partner enhancing the Border Patrols proud legacy.

----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, April 09, 2013 3:11 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: Re: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

I believe it goes to the government official so for andrade, that would probably be (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). Both would have the address of:

1301 Constitution Ave NW Washington, DC 22029

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) **BPFTI PMO** 

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

---- Original Message -----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, April 09, 2013 04:46 PM

To: (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

Not yet, let me ping another person.....stand by.....



Please see below: Who do we need to address the Beneficial Occupancy Date document/letter to?

#### Respectfully,

## (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Program Manager T.I M/R
Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

Yuma & El Centro Sectors

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a strategic partner enhancing the Border Patrols proud legacy.

----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Monday, April 08, 2013 8:12 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE



Have you found out who to send the BOD letter to?

#### (b) (6)

Construction Representative El Centro Field Office Road Runner Resident Office Los Angeles District US Army Corps of Engineers 1850 W Main St, Ste F El Centro, CA 92243

Office: (b) (6)
Cell: (b) (6)
Fax: (b) (6)
email: (b) (6)

----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2013 7:57 AM

To: (b) (6) ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)



Let me check on that question.



Who should we address the letter to?

Respectfully,

#### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C

Program Manager T.I M/R
Program Management Office
Facilities Management and Engineering
Yuma & El Centro Sectors
Office (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Office (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a strategic partner enhancing the Border Patrols proud legacy.

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2013 7:44 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE



Sorry I didn't have time yesterday once I got back. I just sent it out. I am going to do the Beneficial Occupancy Date document (BOD) today. Who do I address the letter to for the CBP? Most of the letters I do for the EC Sector go to Lauguna Nigel.

#### (b) (6)

Construction Representative El Centro Field Office Road Runner Resident Office Los Angeles District US Army Corps of Engineers 1850 W Main St, Ste F El Centro, CA 92243

Office: (b) (6)
Cell: (b) (6)
Fax: (b) (6)
email: (b) (6)

----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2013 3:59 PM To: (b) (6); (b) (6)

To: (b) (6); Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C).

Subject: Re: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

# (b) (6)

Can we please get a copy of the sign in sheet from today's walk through?

Thank you Sir!

#### Respectfully,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Program Manager TI M/R Program Management Office Yuma and El Centro Sector

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

# (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing the Border patrols proud legacy.



Subject: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED


Caveats: NONE

#### Gentlemen:

Here is my last daily on the Andrade Fence Repair with photos. As you can see by the one photo the fence is standing on its own without any support. We are still set to conduct the final inspection on 3 April at 1000.

# (b) (6)

Construction Representative El Centro Field Office Road Runner Resident Office Los Angeles District US Army Corps of Engineers 1850 W Main St, Ste F El Centro, CA 92243



Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) To: Cc: (b) (6) RE: Funds for Round 5 (UNCLASSIFIED) Subject: Date: Thursday, June 13, 2013 10:07:40 AM Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Caveats: NONE (b) (5) ----Original Message-----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2013 8:26 AM To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Subject: RE: Funds for Round 5 (UNCLASSIFIED) Just so that we are on the same page. (b) (5) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), CBM, PMP Division Director, TI Division Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office Facilities Management and Engineering 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Suite B-155 Washington, DC 20004 Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy. ----Original Message-----From: (b) (6) Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2013 8:30 AM To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Cc: (b) Subject: RE: Funds for Round 5 (UNCLASSIFIED) Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Caveats: NONE Contracting was made award of the Congressional notification yesterday afternoon and is working on the award. We will send you an award schedule this morning. Working on reviewing the O&M funds. ----Original Message-----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2013 7:11 AM To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Subject: Funds for Round 5

Importance: High

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C):

Once again thank you for working with BPFTI in working out a good solution for the award of MATOC contracts supporting TI.

(b) (5) (b) (5)

Also, we would appreciate a time line for when Tucson Fence and Roads will be awarded.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me immediately.

Thank you,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C), CBM, PMP

Division Director, TI Division

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure

Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Suite B-155

Washington, DC 20004

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy.

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: Re: SDC Surf Fence - Discussion with (b) (6) (Granite) (UNCLASSIFIED)

**Date:** Monday, June 17, 2013 9:14:02 PM

Wow. Try to get some rest.

---- Original Message -----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Sent: Monday, June 17, 2013 08:25 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: Re: SDC Surf Fence - Discussion with (b) (6) (Granite) (UNCLASSIFIED)

I landed in San Diego about 45 mins ago...Missed all my meeting today, but (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) met with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), and because he flew in last night. We are going to have a conference call tomorrow to catch up with SDC - ill be with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) in ELC.

16 hours to make it here from the time I work up this morning in VA. Waiting for **(b)** (6) to land - he wasn't able to be rescheduled to my flight. Then we are driving to El Centro.

Longest trip for work I have had by far and still not done.

At least the weather is nice!

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C), PMP

Program Manager for Projects and Milcon

BPFTI PMO FM&E
Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent via Blackberry

---- Original Message ----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Monday, June 17, 2013 08:00 PM Eastern Standard Time

Το: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: SDC Surf Fence - Discussion with (b) (6) (Granite) (UNCLASSIFIED)

Ahhhh..ok. Thanks, I take it you got to AZ. By the way, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) is on the look out...

(b) (6), (b)  $\overline{(7)(C)}$ , CBM, PMP

Division Director, TI Division

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure

Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Suite B-155

Washington, DC 20004


Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy.

----Original Message-----




Will keep you updated on progress -Sincerely, , PMP, LEED AP (BD&C) Project Manager (Contractor, Invictus, LLC) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Los Angeles District (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (cell) (b)(6)----Original Message----From: (b) (6) Sent: Friday, June 14, 2013 4:44 PM (b) (6) (b) (6)Cc: Subject: Discussion with (b) (6) (Granite) Importance: High (b) (5) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Project Engineer San Diego Resident Office Los Angeles District U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 8775 Aero Drive Suite 232 San Diego, CA 92123 Offc: Cell: ----Original Message--(b) (6) From: Sent: Friday, June 14, 2013 3:08 PM (b) (6) Subject: Scanned Document Please see the attached document. Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Caveats: NONE

From: To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Cc:

Subject: FW: 24 JUL CIR Planning Ph II Budget Planning 130722 (UNCLASSIFIED)

Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2013 7:40:42 PM

CIR Ph II Budget Roll-up (22 JUL) Environmental Costs 072213.xlsx Attachments:



Thank you.


----Original Message----

From: (b) (6) Sent: Monday, July 22, 2013 8:36 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6),

Subject: FW: 24 JUL CIR Planning Ph II Budget Planning 130722 (UNCLASSIFIED)



(b) (6)

Senior Consultant

LMI

2000 Corporate Ridge McLean, VA 22102 Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C

Complex Problems. Practical Solutions.


www.lmi.org

-----Original Message-----

(b) (6) Sent: Monday, July 22, 2013 10:43 AM

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) To: ; (b)(6)

> (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b)(6)



Subject: S: 24 JUL CIR Planning Ph II Budget Planning 130722 (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Team,

BLUF. Please populate Budget Roll-Up tab and return by COB Wed (24 JUL).

As discussed last Friday, attached is a budget planning matrix (first Tab "Budget Roll-Up"). This document is intended to:

- 1. Organize Sectors from West to East;
- 2. Show States to facilitate risk/cost characterization; 3. Provide consolidation of budget drivers (Construction, Real Estate, Environmental, Design, PgM/PM, and Construction Oversight).

Functional Leads. Please populate budget cells and return to myself and **(b) (6)** by COB 24 JUL. Please also provide any suggestions on improving layout/presentation of this information.

Goal is to incorporate into draft presentation for review during this week's IPR.

Thank you,





(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) TI Branch Chief USACE - ECSO (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) BB: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED


Caveats: NONE

# **Based Upon Notional Requirements**





(b) (5)



From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6)

Subject: RE: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

Date: Tuesday, March 26, 2013 4:09:24 PM

Yes Sir it is. (b) (5)

(b) (5)

Respectfully,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Program Manager T.I M/R Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

Yuma & El Centro Sectors

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a strategic partner enhancing the Border Patrols proud legacy.

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 26, 2013 1:02 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6)

Subject: RE: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

Ok...I need to see them again and is this a priority for BP?

# (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), CBM, PMP

Division Director, TI Division

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office Facilities Management and Engineering

1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Suite B-155

Washington, DC 20004

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(0

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy.

----Original Message----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)


Sent: Tuesday, March 26, 2013 3:59 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Ce: (b) (6)

Subject: RE: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

Sir,

I will have final pics this afternoon after the last concrete truck leaves.



Respectfully,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Program Manager T.I M/R Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

Yuma & El Centro Sectors

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a strategic partner enhancing the Border Patrols proud legacy.

----Original Message----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 26, 2013 12:55 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cc: (b) (6)

Subject: RE: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

I would like pics please. Also, how much are we talking about?

# (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), CBM, PMP

Division Director, TI Division

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office Facilities Management and Engineering

1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Suite B-155

Washington, DC 20004 Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy.

-----Original Message-----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 26, 2013 3:54 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6)

Subject: FW: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

Sirs,

Last section of fence was installed today and all concrete will be poured. CJW will spend the rest of the week cleaning up and helping us secure the sections of fence that need additional support (Phase II & III sections). We have a final walk through inspection scheduled for Wednesday (04/03/13) next week at 1000.

The funding for the Phase II & III is in our back log,

(b) (5

Respectfully,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C

Program Manager T.I M/R Program Management Office

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Excel as a strategic partner enhancing the Border Patrols proud legacy. ----Original Message-----From: (b) (6) Sent: Tuesday, March 26, 2013 12:26 PM (b) (6) To: Cc: (b) (6) (6), (b) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6) Subject: Re: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED) The last fence panel was set in place at 1215 and concrete for the footings will go in at 1300. ---- Original Message -----From: (b) (6) Sent: Tuesday, March 26, 2013 07:50 AM (b) (6) To: Cc: (b) (6), (b) Subject: RE: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED) Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Caveats: NONE (b) (6) (b) (6) and I would probably be available on Wednesday, 3 April. I have a project mtg in Tucson on Tuesday and (b) (6) and I have a mtg in El Centro on Thursday. (b) (6) Western Region Program Manager ECSO, TI Branch **USACE Fort Worth District** office(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) bb (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) ----Original Message-----From: (b) (6) Sent: Monday, March 25, 2013 6:40 PM To: (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6)

Subject: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

Facilities Management and Engineering

Yuma & El Centro Sectors

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Gentlemen:

Here is the daily report with photos.

(b) (6) was suppose to come out this week but his schedule was changed to next week. Since the contractor is looking to be complete with the fence on 1 April, I was wondering if we could schedule a final inspection for either 3 or 4 April when (b) (6) will be on site. Do these dates work for everybody?

(b) (6)

Construction Representative El Centro Field Office Road Runner Resident Office Los Angeles District US Army Corps of Engineers 1850 W Main St, Ste F El Centro, CA 92243

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Fax: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

email: (b) (6)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: Re: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

**Date:** Wednesday, April 10, 2013 6:35:48 PM

Thank you. As says "even if we need to drag him thru the finish line.".

---- Original Message -----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2013 03:21 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

Me too - we will definitely engage him

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (W) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (bberry) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

-----Original Message-----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2013 1:44 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

Outstanding. Please engage and have him part of the process. I thought we had a very good meeting yesterday...

----Original Message----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2013 2:43 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

No problem - also, I just talked to and she will start contacting your folks to document a process for the wage grade work tracking we discussed yesterday.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (W) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (bberry) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

-----Original Message-----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2013 1:26 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

You are more than kind....Thank you.

-----Original Message-----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2013 8:56 AM To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Subject: FW: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED) You OK with this? never responded to so I tried to help:) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (W)(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (bberry) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) ----Original Message-----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Sent: Tuesday, April 09, 2013 5:17 PM To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6) Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Subject: RE: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED) That works, thanks! Respectfully, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Program Manager T.I M/R Program Management Office Facilities Management and Engineering Yuma & El Centro Sectors Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Excel as a strategic partner enhancing the Border Patrols proud legacy. ----Original Message-----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Sent: Tuesday, April 09, 2013 3:11 PM  $T_{0:}(b)$  (6), (b) (7)(C).; (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Subject: Re: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED) I believe it goes to the government official so for andrade, that would probably be (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). Both would have the address of: 1301 Constitution Ave NW Washington, DC 22029 (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) BPFTI PMO (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) ---- Original Message -----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Sent: Tuesday, April 09, 2013 04:46 PM To: (b) (6) (b) (6), (b)

Subject: RE: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

Not yet, let me ping another person.....stand by.....



Please see below: Who do we need to address the Beneficial Occupancy Date document/letter to?

### Respectfully,

#### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C

Program Manager T.I M/R
Program Management Office
Facilities Management and Engineering
Yuma & El Centro Sectors

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a strategic partner enhancing the Border Patrols proud legacy.

----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Monday, April 08, 2013 8:12 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE



Have you found out who to send the BOD letter to?

(b) (6)

Construction Representative El Centro Field Office Road Runner Resident Office Los Angeles District US Army Corps of Engineers 1850 W Main St, Ste F El Centro, CA 92243

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Fax: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

email: (b) (6)

----Original Message----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2013 7:57 AM

To: (b) (6) ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

(b) (6)

Let me check on that question.



Who should we address the letter to?

Respectfully,

### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C

Program Manager T.I M/R
Program Management Office
Facilities Management and Engineering

Facilities Management and Engineering

Yuma & El Centro Sectors

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a strategic partner enhancing the Border Patrols proud legacy.

----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2013 7:44 AM

 $T_{O:}(b)$  (6), (b) (7)(C).

Subject: RE: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE



Sorry I didn't have time yesterday once I got back. I just sent it out. I am going to do the Beneficial Occupancy Date document (BOD) today. Who do I address the letter to for the CBP? Most of the letters I do for the EC Sector go to Lauguna Nigel.

(b) (6)

Construction Representative El Centro Field Office Road Runner Resident Office Los Angeles District US Army Corps of Engineers 1850 W Main St, Ste F El Centro, CA 92243

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

 $F_{ax}(b)(6)$ , (b) (7)(C)

email: (b) (6)

----Original Message----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2013 3:59 PM

To: (b) (6) Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C).

Subject: Re: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

(b) (6)

Can we please get a copy of the sign in sheet from today's walk through?

Thank you Sir!

### Respectfully,

Program Manager TI M/R

Program Management Office
Yuma and El Centro Sector

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing the Border patrols proud legacy.



Subject: Andrade Fence Repair Daily Report (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

### Gentlemen:

Here is my last daily on the Andrade Fence Repair with photos. As you can see by the one photo the fence is standing on its own without any support. We are still set to conduct the final inspection on 3 April at 1000.

### (b) (6)

Construction Representative El Centro Field Office Road Runner Resident Office Los Angeles District US Army Corps of Engineers 1850 W Main St, Ste F El Centro, CA 92243

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Fax: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
email: (b) (6)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Subject: RE: W9126G-13-C-0002 Andrade Primary Fence Repair, Occupancy and Transfer of Property Date: Thursday, April 11, 2013 8:44:54 AM Ok. What about TECO??? ----Original Message-----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Sent: Thursday, April 11, 2013 8:37 AM To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Cc: (6), (b) (7)(C) Subject: Re: W9126G-13-C-0002 Andrade Primary Fence Repair, Occupancy and Transfer of Property It is currently be populated in FITT and then we can take this action. is working with the USACE to catch up on this action. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), PMP Project Manager, TI Project Division BPFTI PMO FM&E Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Sent via Blackberry ---- Original Message -----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Sent: Thursday, April 11, 2013 08:05 AM Eastern Standard Time  $T_0$ : (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Cc: Subject: Re: W9126G-13-C-0002 Andrade Primary Fence Repair, Occupancy and Transfer of Property Outstanding!!! We will need to close this out in FITT and Teco. or  $\overset{\text{(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)}}{}$  or  $\overset{\text{(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)}}{}$  on how to Teco. Please work with either I would this closed out by the end of the week. Thank you. ---- Original Message -----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2013 11:32 PM To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6) Subject: Fw: W9126G-13-C-0002 Andrade Primary Fence Repair, Occupancy and Transfer of Property **FYA** Respectfully,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: To: Program Manager TI M/R Program Management Office Yuma and El Centro Sector

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing the Border patrols proud legacy.

----- Original Message ----From: (b) (6)
Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2013 05:07 PM
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cc: (b) (6)

Subject: Re: W9126G-13-C-0002 Andrade Primary Fence Repair, Occupancy and Transfer of Property

Gentlemen please pass this correspondence on to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). Attached is the Occupancy and Transfer of Property Letter from USACE and its contractor, CJW Construction Inc. back to Customs and Border Protection effective 3 April, 2013. We have scheduled three warranty inspections, the first in August, 2013, the second in January, 2014, and a final inspection in April, 2014. I will send out remainders when these inspections come due. I appreciate your support and cooperation in bringing this project to a successful closure and end.

(b) (6)
Project Engineer/COR
AZ/NV Area Office
LA Dist. USACE
3636 North Central Avenue
Suite, 500
Phoenix, AZ 85012-1939
(O) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(M) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(F) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: To: (UNCLASSIFIED) Subject: Fw: Question about road from (b) (7)(E) Thursday, August 06, 2015 9:47:17 AM Date: .can we get help? Thanks.

---- Original Message -----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Sent: Thursday, August 06, 2015 09:45 AM To: (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)(UNCLASSIFIED) Subject: Fw: Question about road from

(b) (6) please reach out to the alaska design team - it appears they'll need more resources to meet current timelines and closeout of the 100%.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C), PMP, LEED BD&C Program Manager **BPFTI PMO Towers** BB (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

---- Original Message -----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Sent: Thursday, August 06, 2015 08:41 AM

 $T_{O:}$  (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: FW: Question about road from (UNCLASSIFIED)

As discussed. Thanks,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C), P.E., LEED AP TI SME, BPFTI

LMI Government Consulting

BB: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Telework: Tuesdays and Fridays

----Original Message----

From: Geppert, Steven M POA [mailto:Steven.M.Geppert@usace.army mil]

Sent: Wednesday, August 05, 2015 9:42 PM To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Alley, Mike W POA (b) (6)

(UNCLASSIFIED) Subject: RE: Question about road from (b) (7)(E)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

(b) (6) will not be back until the 19th of August. I did talk to him and he said it would be very time consuming to respond to all the comments (He has done some of them). He is going to try and call you. I recommend we do the August 10 design review for the TNR only and you can schedule a separate design review with (b) (6) I will be out of the country from 14 August to 28 August.

----Original Message----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, August 05, 2015 8:19 AM To: Geppert, Steven M POA; Alley, Mike W POA

Cc: (b) (6)

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: Question about road from (b) (7)(E) (UNCLASSIFIED)

Steve/Mike,

I just left you a message on this - what is the status of the DR Checks backcheck? As I relayed to (b) (6) below, I am concerned about the August 10 design review.

Thanks,



---- Original Message -----

From: Geppert, Steven M POA [mailto:Steven.M.Geppert@usace.army mil]

Sent: Monday, August 03, 2015 09:13 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Question about road from (b) (7)(E) (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Understood, (b) (6) will have to look at that when he gets in.

----Original Message----


From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Monday, August 03, 2015 4:41 AM

To: Geppert, Steven M POA


Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Question about road from (b) (7)(E) (UNCLASSIFIED)

Steve,



Thanks,





the designer feedback, thus the urgency.

Mike,
If you can provide and ETA for me when you get in on Monday I would appreciate it.
Thanks,
(b) (6)
(b) (6) , P.E., LEED AP
TI SME, BPFTI
LMI Government Consulting
BB: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Telework: Tuesdays and Fridays
Original Message
From: Geppert, Steven M POA [mailto:Steven.M.Geppert@usace.army mil <mailto:steven.m.geppert@usace.army mil=""> ]</mailto:steven.m.geppert@usace.army>
Sent: Friday, July 31, 2015 4:10 PM
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cc: Alley, Mike W POA
Subject: RE: Question about road from (b) (7)(E) (UNCLASSIFIED)
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE
Mike will have to complete his portion on Monday. He is out today. I'm calling in for jury duty next week, so I will be on an undetermined schedule.
Original Message
From: (b) (6)

Sent: Friday, July 31, 2015 12:06 PM

To: Geppert, Steven M POA Cc: Alley, Mike W POA Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Question about road from AJO-0448 to AJO-0446 (UNCLASSIFIED) Thank you Steve. When do you think you will have Dr. Checks responses updated? Thanks (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), P.E., LEED AP TI SME, BPFTI LMI Government Consulting BB: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Telework: Tuesdays and Fridays ----Original Message-----From: Geppert, Steven M POA [mailto:Steven.M.Geppert@usace.army mil <mailto:Steven.M.Geppert@usace.army mil>] Sent: Friday, July 31, 2015 4:05 PM To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Cc: Alley, Mike W POA (UNCLASSIFIED) Subject: RE: Question about road from (b) (7)(E) Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Caveats: NONE From our field visit with in early July, we have made some changes in the amount of work being done. The sheets will show up and indicate no work but will be removed from project at the next stage.

----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Sent: Friday, July 31, 2015 11:47 AM
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) ; Geppert, Steven M POA
Cc: (b) (6)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Question about road from AJO-0448 to AJO-0446
(b) (6), (b) (7
I finally got a chance to look into this. Please see below, as you can see (b) (7)(E) are in this stretch between (b) (7)(E) and the border road, so for the project, that is the limit of the work we will be conducting
·
Steve,
Sieve,
(b) (5) (b) (7)(C)
(b) (5), (b) (7)(E)
Thanks,
(b) (6). (
cid:image001.png@01D0CB82.3F8FA570
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C), P.E., LEED AP

TI SME, BPFTI LMI Government Consulting BB: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Telework: Tuesdays and Fridays From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Sent: Friday, July 17, 2015 9:45 AM (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Subject: RE: Question about road from (b) (7)(E)

Thanks,

### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Tucson Sector Tribal Liaison

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Sent: Friday, July 17, 2015 6:42 AM
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
<u>Cc:</u> (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: Question about road from (b) (7)(E)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
That road should be captured because it was going to be handled by CTIMR but specifically for this project if I remember correctly. There are no LWC's in this area.
Thanks,
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Tucson Sector Tribal Liaison
Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Friday, July 17, 2015 4:29 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Question about road from (b) (7)(E)

(b) (5)

Once I have time to research I will confirm and get back to you.

Thanks,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) , P.E., LEED AP

TI SME, BPFTI

**LMI Government Consulting** 

BB: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Telework: Tuesdays and Fridays

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2015 3:27 PM

<u>To:</u> (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)


Subject: FW: Question about road from (b) (7)(E)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

# (b) (7)(E), (b) (5)

This road segment was surveyed; so, we can still include it in the EA if needed, (b) (5)

Thanks,



This e-mail and any attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named herein and may contain proprietary information. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail or believe that you received this email in error, please take immediate action to notify the sender of the apparent error by reply e-mail; permanently delete the e-mail and any attachments from your computer; and do not disseminate, distribute, use, or copy this message and any attachments.

From: (b) (6)	>]
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2015 2:42 PM	
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	
Subject: Question about road from (b) (7)(E)	
Here's that portion of the road I was asking about on the phone. (b) (5)	
I will put together a table with the acres and road miles. Then you can compare to the table with GSRC va (b) (5)	lues. I
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C), GISP	
Information Systems & Geospatial Services	
Mantech International	

Ph: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
This e-mail and any attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named herein and may contain proprietary information. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail or believe that you received this email in error, please take immediate action to notify the sender of the apparent error by reply e-mail; permanently delete the e-mail and any attachments from your computer; and do not disseminate, distribute, use, or copy this message and any attachments.
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: SH 32 - conference call with IBWC & CBP - meeting notes

**Date:** Thursday, September 17, 2015 9:42:31 AM

Ok. Good..

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2015 9:41 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: SH 32 - conference call with IBWC & CBP - meeting notes

Got it. Thank you.

I booked my travel to San Diego.

### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), PE, PMP

LMI Government Consulting
Border Patrol Facilities & Tactical Infrastructure
Program Management Office
Facilities Management and Engineering
U.S. Customs and Border Protection

Blackberry: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy.

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2015 9:35 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: FW: SH 32 - conference call with IBWC & CBP - meeting notes

FYSA...

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2015 9:32 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: FW: SH 32 - conference call with IBWC & CBP - meeting notes

FYSA-SH 32 will require a significant portion of the O-21 fence segment to be relocated.

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Wednesday, September 9, 2015 9:55 AM

**To:** Betsabe Diaz (<u>Betsabe.Diaz@ibwc.gov</u>); Jose Nunez (<u>Jose.Nunez@ibwc.gov</u>); (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); Gabe Duran; Albert Moehlig (<u>Albert.Moehlig@ibwc.gov</u>)

Cc: (b) (6)

**Subject:** SH 32 - conference call with IBWC & CBP - meeting notes

Attached are the meeting notes for last Thursday. Please check for errors & omissions. Thanks!

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Thursday, September 03, 2015 10:35 AM

To: (b) (6) ; (b) (6) ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) ; Jose Nunez

(Jose.Nunez@ibwc.gov); Albert Moehlig (Albert.Moehlig@ibwc.gov)

Subject: RE: SH 32 - conference call with IBWC & CBP

Attached is the Los Indios land exchange map. Requests during this morning conf call.

<< File: los indios map.pdf >>

(b) (6)

-----Original Appointment----
From: (b) (6)

Sent: Monday, August 31, 2015 5:08 PM

To: (b) (6)

Diaz (Betsabe.Diaz@ibwc.gov); (b) (6) ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) '; Jose Nunez

(<u>Jose.Nunez@ibwc.gov</u>); Albert Moehlig (<u>Albert.Moehlig@ibwc.gov</u>); **Subject:** SH 32 - conference call with IBWC & CBP

When: Thursday, September 03, 2015 9:00 AM-10:00 AM (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada).

Where: 9:00 - 10:00 (CDT) or 10:00 - 11:00 (EDT)

<< File: AGENDA.docx >> << File: USFWS Land Swap Exhibit-Revised.pdf >> << File: CBP at SH 4.jpg >>

The phone number and conference code for Thursday's meeting:

Toll-free: (b) (7)(E)
Conference Code: (b) (7)(E)

Attached is an agenda, the 2015 exhibit showing the proposed re-alignment of the levee as discussed with USFWS, and a location map of CBP's station along SH 4.

I will send (as an additional reference) the 2012 schematic showing the proposed levee realignment via the txdot dropbox.

Let me know if you have any questions and thank you for your participation in this project.

Betsabe



600 W. US 83 Expressway Pharr, TX 78577-1231



Subject: FW: Anapra fence replacement Date: Friday, September 25, 2015 9:1

Date:Friday, September 25, 2015 9:19:15 AMAttachments:USIBWC Letter, September 24, 2015.pdf

All,

Please find the attached USIBWC concurrence letter dated September 24, 2015.



From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 11:01 PM

To:(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: FW: Anapra fence replacement

please distribute to the team. Thanks

From: Jose Nunez [mailto:Jose.Nunez@ibwc.gov]
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 4:08 PM


To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); (b) (6)

Subject: Re: Anapra fence replacement



Attached is the USIBWC letter for your information and records. The original version of this letter should be forthcoming in the mail shortly. Regards,

José A. Nuñez, P.E.
Principal Engineer
IBWC, U.S. Section
Headquarters
(b) (6)



The Corps is intending to award the Anapra fence replacement project tomorrow. Any new news on

the concurrence letter? Thanks



**(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)**, P.E., PMP

Chief Engineer

LMI

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure

Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy

# INTERNATIONAL BOUNDARY AND WATER COMMISSION UNITED STATES AND MEXICO



September 24, 2015

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)<sub>P. E.</sub>

Project Manager
U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP)
1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 755 North
Washington, DC 20229

## $Dea^{(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)}$

The United States Section of the International Boundary and Water Commission (USIBWC) has completed its review of the 'Final Anapra Primary Fence Replacement Drainage Report,' dated August 2015, associated hydrologic, hydraulic and sediment transport models, and engineering drawings developed by Michael Baker International, LLC (Baker) on behalf of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS). The study was conducted in support of a project proposed to replace approximately 1.35 miles of existing primary fence located in Anapra, Doña Ana County, New Mexico. This segment runs parallel to the International Border between the States of New Mexico, United States and Chihuahua, Mexico. The proposed project begins approximately 5.8 miles east of the Santa Teresa Land Port of Entry (LPOE) and proceeds east to the base of Mount Christo Rey.


The proposed upgrade consists of replacing the primary tight weave chain link fence with a new bollard style fence. The submitted documents have adequately addressed our comments and concerns. The USIBWC has concluded that there are no objections to the proposed fence upgrades provided that it is constructed as designed and DHS agrees to comply with the following conditions:

- All construction material and trash will be removed from the work area upon completion of the work.
- 2. The USIBWC does not assume any responsibility regarding damages that could be caused to the work, property or persons in the project area as a consequence of the surface drainage which occurs predominantly from Mexico into the United States.
- 3. Any damage caused by the proposed works to either the United States or Mexico will be repaired by the DHS to the Commission's satisfaction.
- 4. DHS will implement a maintenance program to remove any trash and/or debris found along the alignment of the fence and within the drainage structures on a regular basis, especially after a storm event.

The Commons, Building C, Suite 100 • 4171 N. Mesa Street • El Paso, Texas 79902-1441 (915) 832-4701 • Fax: (915) 832-4166 • http://www.ibwc.gov

- 5. DHS/CBP will install two (2) gates, one adjacent to IBWC Monument 2C and the other at coordinate (b) (7)(E)
- 6. If DHS performs any additional modeling and analysis in order to address any comments from the Burlington Northern and Santa Fe (BNSF) Railway, then such models and associated reports should be shared with the USIBWC within a timely manner.

It should be noted that the USIBWC did not review these fence projects for any potential environmental impacts since they were addressed by DHS. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Gabriel Duran at either (915) 832-4746 or via email Gabe.Duran@ibwc.gov.



From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Subject: FW: Anapra fence replacement

Date: Thursday, September 24, 2015 11:00:41 PM Attachments: USIBWC Letter, September 24, 2015.pdf

-please distribute to the team. Thanks

**From:** Jose Nunez [mailto:Jose.Nunez@ibwc.gov] Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 4:08 PM

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**Subject:** Re: Anapra fence replacement



Attached is the USIBWC letter for your information and records. The original version of this letter should be forthcoming in the mail shortly. Regards,

José A. Nuñez, P.E. Principal Engineer IBWC, U.S. Section Headquarters Cell



(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) > 9/24/2015 6:39 AM >>>

The Corps is intending to award the Anapra fence replacement project tomorrow. Any new news on the concurrence letter?

Thanks



(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) , P.E., PMP

Chief Engineer

LMI

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure

Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

Mobile (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
To: (b) (6)
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**Subject:** FW: Anapra fence replacement

Date: Friday, September 25, 2015 7:24:12 AM
Attachments: USIBWC Letter, September 24, 2015.pdf

FYSA..

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 11:01 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**Subject:** FW: Anapra fence replacement

(b) (6), (b) (7)(

-please distribute to the team. Thanks

From: Jose Nunez [mailto:Jose.Nunez@ibwc.gov]
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 4:08 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); (b) (6)

**Subject:** Re: Anapra fence replacement



Attached is the USIBWC letter for your information and records. The original version of this letter should be forthcoming in the mail shortly. Regards,

José A. Nuñez, P.E.
Principal Engineer
IBWC, U.S. Section
Headquarters
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

>>>

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

> 9/24/2015 6:39 AM >>>

b) (6), (b) (7

(b) (5)

Thanks

(b) (6)

**(b) (6)** , P.E., PMP

Chief Engineer

LMI

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure

Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy

# INTERNATIONAL BOUNDARY AND WATER COMMISSION UNITED STATES AND MEXICO



September 24, 2015

(b) (6), (b)  $(7)(C)_{P. E.}$ 

Project Manager
U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP)
1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 755 North
Washington, DC 20229

### $_{ m Deal}$ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

The United States Section of the International Boundary and Water Commission (USIBWC) has completed its review of the 'Final Anapra Primary Fence Replacement Drainage Report,' dated August 2015, associated hydrologic, hydraulic and sediment transport models, and engineering drawings developed by Michael Baker International, LLC (Baker) on behalf of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS). The study was conducted in support of a project proposed to replace approximately 1.35 miles of existing primary fence located in Anapra, Doña Ana County, New Mexico. This segment runs parallel to the International Border between the States of New Mexico, United States and Chihuahua, Mexico. The proposed project begins approximately 5.8 miles east of the Santa Teresa Land Port of Entry (LPOE) and proceeds east to the base of Mount Christo Rey.


The proposed upgrade consists of replacing the primary tight weave chain link fence with a new bollard style fence. The submitted documents have adequately addressed our comments and concerns. The USIBWC has concluded that there are no objections to the proposed fence upgrades provided that it is constructed as designed and DHS agrees to comply with the following conditions:

- All construction material and trash will be removed from the work area upon completion of the work.
- 2. The USIBWC does not assume any responsibility regarding damages that could be caused to the work, property or persons in the project area as a consequence of the surface drainage which occurs predominantly from Mexico into the United States.
- 3. Any damage caused by the proposed works to either the United States or Mexico will be repaired by the DHS to the Commission's satisfaction.
- 4. DHS will implement a maintenance program to remove any trash and/or debris found along the alignment of the fence and within the drainage structures on a regular basis, especially after a storm event.

The Commons, Building C, Suite 100 • 4171 N. Mesa Street • El Paso, Texas 79902-1441 (915) 832-4701 • Fax: (915) 832-4166 • http://www.ibwc.gov

- 5. DHS/CBP will install two (2) gates, one adjacent to IBWC Monument 2C and the other at coordinate (b) (7)(E)
- 6. If DHS performs any additional modeling and analysis in order to address any comments from the Burlington Northern and Santa Fe (BNSF) Railway, then such models and associated reports should be shared with the USIBWC within a timely manner.

It should be noted that the USIBWC did not review these fence projects for any potential environmental impacts since they were addressed by DHS. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Gabriel Duran at either (915) 832-4746 or via email Gabe.Duran@ibwc.gov.



From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: RGV Gates - ASBCA No. 59329 - Filing of Complaint of Weston Solutions, Inc. (UNCLASSIFIED) -

Date: Thursday, July 10, 2014 5:28:36 PM

Just fulfilling my role as your Chief Engineer (also an IPA at the time)

(b) (5), (b) (6)

(b) (5), (b) (6)

-----Original Message-----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, July 10, 2014 1:26 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: FW: RGV Gates - ASBCA No. 59329 - Filing of Complaint of Weston Solutions, Inc. (UNCLASSIFIED) -

Importance: High

(b) (5)

I hope you chat with on any details.

Regards,

----Original Message----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, July 10, 2014 9:27 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); (b) (6) ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) ; (b) (6) ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: FW: RGV Gates - ASBCA No. 59329 - Filing of Complaint of Weston Solutions, Inc. (UNCLASSIFIED) -

Importance: High

FYSA

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Program Manager

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Facilities Management and Engineering Tactical Infrastructure Division (Maintenance and Repair)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Work

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)<sub>Blackberry</sub>

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

----Original Message----

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Wednesday, July 09, 2014 8:58 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: FW: ASBCA No. 59329 - Filing of Complaint of Weston Solutions, Inc. (UNCLASSIFIED)

Importance: High

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Please find enclosed Weston's formal complaint as filed with the Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals.

Thanks,



----Original Message-----

From:

(b) (6)

Sent: Monday, June 30, 2014 2:34 PM

To:

(b) (6)

Cc: (b) (6)

Subject: FW: ASBCA No. 59329 - Filing of Complaint of Weston Solutions, Inc. (UNCLASSIFIED)

Importance: High

Hello Everyone:

Annexed hereto is the complaint filed by Weston in the setting forth their side of the story.

(b) (6)

----Original Message----

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2014 12:02 PM

To:

(b) (6)

Subject: FW: ASBCA No. 59329 - Filing of Complaint of Weston Solutions, Inc. (UNCLASSIFIED)

Importance: High

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED


Caveats: NONE

Attorney-Client Privileged Communication Attorney Work Product Do Not Release Under FOIA Do Not Copy or

Forward

(b) (5)

Thanks!



District Counsel

US Army Corps of Engineers

Galveston District

(b) (6)

From:

(b) (6)

Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2014 5:11 PM

To: (k

(b) (6)

Cc: (b) (6)

Subject: FW: ASBCA No. 59329 - Filing of Complaint of Weston Solutions, Inc. (UNCLASSIFIED)


Importance: High

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED


Caveats: NONE

Attorney-Client Privileged Communication Attorney Work Product Do Not Release Under FOIA Do Not Copy or

Forward



Thanks!



District Counsel US Army Corps of Engineers Galveston District



From: (b) (6) Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2014 4:40 PM

To: (b) (6)

Cc: (b) (6)

Subject: [EXTERNAL] ASBCA No. 59329 - Filing of Complaint of Weston Solutions, Inc.

Importance: High

### Dear (b) (6) :

Please accept this electronic filing of the Complaint of Weston Solutions, Inc., ASBCA No. 59329 under Contract No. W912BV-08-D-2012. Also attached is a Certificate of Service for this filing.

Should you have any problems opening the attached documents, please notify me immediately.

Respectfully submitted,

(b) (6) | Smith Currie 245 Peachtree Center Avenue, N.E. 2700 Marquis Tower One Atlanta, Georgia 30303-1227

Direct: (b) (6)
Facsimile: (b) (6)

www.SmithCurrie.com < http://www.smithcurrie.com/>

### Confidentiality Notice:

This email constitutes an electronic communication under the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. § 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the intended recipient. This transmission, and any attachments, may contain confidential attorney-client privileged information and attorney work product. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any information contained in or attached to this transmission is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you believe that you are not the intended recipient, please contact us immediately by return e-mail or at (b) (6), and destroy the transmission and its attachments without reading or saving in any manner.

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: Re: RGV Gates - ASBCA No. 59329 - Filing of Complaint of Weston Solutions, Inc. (UNCLASSIFIED) -

Date: Thursday, July 10, 2014 9:23:06 PM

Yea-pretty disappointing

Thanks

Sent from my bb-please excuse poor grammar, misspelled or missing words, etc.


---- Original Message -----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, July 10, 2014 09:12 PM Eastern Standard Time

 $T_0: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)$ 

Subject: Re: RGV Gates - ASBCA No. 59329 - Filing of Complaint of Weston Solutions, Inc. (UNCLASSIFIED) -



---- Original Message -----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, July 10, 2014 05:28 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: RGV Gates - ASBCA No. 59329 - Filing of Complaint of Weston Solutions, Inc. (UNCLASSIFIED) -

Just fulfilling my role as your Chief Engineer (also an IPA at the time).

(b) (5), (b) (6)

(b) (5), (b) (6)

----Original Message----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, July 10, 2014 1:26 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: FW: RGV Gates - ASBCA No. 59329 - Filing of Complaint of Weston Solutions, Inc. (UNCLASSIFIED) -

Importance: High

(b) (5)

(b) (5) I hope you chat with (b) (6) on any details. Regards, ----Original Message-----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Sent: Thursday, July 10, 2014 9:27 AM To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Subject: FW: RGV Gates - ASBCA No. 59329 - Filing of Complaint of Weston Solutions, Inc. (UNCLASSIFIED) -Importance: High **FYSA** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Program Manager Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Facilities Management and Engineering Tactical Infrastructure Division (Maintenance and Repair) (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) Work(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Blackberry (b) (7)(C)----Original Message-----From: (b) (6) Sent: Wednesday, July 09, 2014 8:58 AM To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Subject: FW: ASBCA No. 59329 - Filing of Complaint of Weston Solutions, Inc. (UNCLASSIFIED) Importance: High (b) (6), (b) (7)(0 Please find enclosed Weston's formal complaint as filed with the Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals. Thanks, (b) (6) ----Original Message-----From: (b) (6) Sent: Monday, June 30, 2014 2:34 PM To: (b) (6) (b) (6) Subject: FW: ASBCA No. 59329 - Filing of Complaint of Weston Solutions, Inc. (UNCLASSIFIED) Importance: High Hello Everyone: Annexed hereto is the complaint filed by Weston in the setting forth their side of the story. ----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2014 12:02 PM

To: (b) (6)

Subject: FW: ASBCA No. 59329 - Filing of Complaint of Weston Solutions, Inc. (UNCLASSIFIED)

Importance: High

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Attorney-Client Privileged Communication Attorney Work Product Do Not Release Under FOIA Do Not Copy or

Forward

(b) (5)

Thanks!



District Counsel US Army Corps of Engineers

**Galveston District** 



From: (b) (6)

Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2014 5:11 PM

To: (b) (6) Cc: (b) (6)

Subject: FW: ASBCA No. 59329 - Filing of Complaint of Weston Solutions, Inc. (UNCLASSIFIED)

Importance: High

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Attorney-Client Privileged Communication Attorney Work Product Do Not Release Under FOIA Do Not Copy or

Forward

(b) (5)

Thanks!



District Counsel
US Army Corps of Engineers
Galveston District

(h) (6)

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2014 4:40 PM

To: \_\_\_\_ (b) (6)

Cc: (b) (6)

Subject: [EXTERNAL] ASBCA No. 59329 - Filing of Complaint of Weston Solutions, Inc.

Importance: High

Dear (b) (6) :

Please accept this electronic filing of the Complaint of Weston Solutions, Inc., ASBCA No. 59329 under Contract No. W912BV-08-D-2012. Also attached is a Certificate of Service for this filing.

Should you have any problems opening the attached documents, please notify me immediately.

Respectfully submitted,

(b) (6) | Smith Currie 245 Peachtree Center Avenue, N.E. 2700 Marquis Tower One Atlanta, Georgia 30303-1227

Direct: (b) (6) Facsimile: (b) (6)

www.SmithCurrie.com < http://www.smithcurrie.com/>

### Confidentiality Notice:

This email constitutes an electronic communication under the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. § 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the intended recipient. This transmission, and any attachments, may contain confidential attorney-client privileged information and attorney work product. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any information contained in or attached to this transmission is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you believe that you are not the intended recipient, please contact us immediately by return e-mail or at (b) (6) and destroy the transmission and its attachments without reading or saving in any manner.

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED


Caveats: NONE

From: (b) (6)

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: FW: 24 JUL CIR Planning Ph II Budget Planning 130722 (UNCLASSIFIED)

Date: Monday, July 22, 2013 11:36:30 AM
Attachments: CIR Ph II Budget Roll-up (22 JUL).xlsx



(b) (6)

Senior Consultant

LMI


2000 Corporate Ridge McLean, VA 22102

Office: (b) (6)
Mobile: (b) (6)

Complex Problems. Practical Solutions.

www.lmi.org

----Original Message----



Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Team,

BLUF. Please populate Budget Roll-Up tab and return by COB Wed (24 JUL).

As discussed last Friday, attached is a budget planning matrix (first Tab "Budget Roll-Up"). This document is intended to:

1. Organize Sectors from West to East;


2. Show States to facilitate risk/cost characterization; 3. Provide consolidation of budget drivers (Construction, Real Estate, Environmental, Design, PgM/PM, and Construction Oversight).

Functional Leads. Please populate budget cells and return to myself and **(b) (6)** by COB 24 JUL. Please also provide any suggestions on improving layout/presentation of this information.

Goal is to incorporate into draft presentation for review during this week's IPR.

Thank you,






Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

**Based Upon Notional Requirements** 

(b) (7)(E), (b) (5)

(b) (7)(E), (b) (5)



(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) From: To: (b) (6) Cc: RE: Request USACE determination: Sharing final As-built/O&M package for Black Draw Bridge with the TON Subject: Date: Friday, June 17, 2016 3:23:02 PM Perfect, thank you again! ----Original Message-----(b) (6) From: Sent: Friday, June 17, 2016 2:32 PM To: (b) (6), (b) Cc: Subject: RE: Request USACE determination: Sharing final As-built/O&M package for Black Draw Bridge with the TON roger.

Please see the below dialogue requesting KO assessment of this contract:

W912PL-10-D-0026/0001

for the purpose of ascertaining whether or not the government owns the design, as-builts, shop drawings, etc. associated with the contract and has the right to provide those documents to others.

CBP further requests that, if we do own these documents, we provide recommendations to mitigate any risks associated with transferring these documents to another sovereign nation (TON). I would prefer to pose this latter question to our (SWF) counsel, but let me know if you feel otherwise.

Please:

1. Confirm that the subject contract was issued by SPL and identify the KO; 2. Provide KO's assessment as to ownership and release-ability of project documents.

Let me know if you would like to discuss.

Thank you,



-----Original Message----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2016 1:03 PM
To: (b) (6)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Request USACE determination: Sharing final As-built/O&M package for Black Draw

Bridge with the TON

Good afternoon (b) (6)

I spoke with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), and he recommended I come to you to help us on this one.

#### BACKGROUND:

Tucson Sector, who is CC'd on this email (Agent (b) (6). (b) (7)(C)), brought to our attention that the Tohono O'odham Nation (TON) approached Sector for insight into what CBP had done in terms of constructing a small bridge over an arroyo/wash using a flat rail road car type design. So, Sector took them out to the Black Draw Bridge in Douglass, AZ to show them what had been built to cross a similarly sized wash to the one they're looking at on the TON. Black Draw Bridge is a crossing that was apparently designed by Baker and built under USACE contract for a crossing along a road running along VF300 fence segment FV-1B.

Apparently the TON liked Black Draw Bridge a lot, and they're seeking to potentially develop specs for a RFP to construct a similar bridge for a similarly sized wash on their land.

The 91-page document Sector is requesting to share with the TON can be found in the FITT document library.

It's under FM&E Number 3140-1,the Project Title was Black Draw\_TCA\_3140-1\_Bridge, and the title of the document is: Black Draw Bridge O&M Manual and As-builts.


#### REQUEST DETERMINATION BY A USACE CONTRACTING OFFICER:

CBP's office of counsel determined that a USACE contracting officer (CO) would be the appropriate person to determine whether and in what particular manner to share the document.

(b) (6) recollection was that LA District had contracted this work, I'm not sure.

The Contract number was W912PL-10-D-0026/0001.

CBP's office of counsel indicated that once the USACE CO reviews the document and the applicable contract language, he/she will likely then turn to the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR). So, just in an effort to help, under my signature block below is the FAR clause that, IF it was included in the contract, would appear to allow the government complete use of the as-builts (see section below highlighted in red). The only question is whether the contract included this clause, and if so, if the "as-built" drawings at issue fall under this clause's definition of "shop drawings." Nevertheless, it may assist the CO. Additionally, if USACE answers affirmatively that USACE and/or CBP may disclose the drawings to the Nation, we'd like to know if there are recommended methods for disclosure,



- (a) The Contractor shall keep on the work site a copy of the drawings and specifications and shall at all times give the Contracting Officer access thereto. Anything mentioned in the specifications and not shown on the drawings, or shown on the drawings and not mentioned in the specifications, shall be of like effect as if shown or mentioned in both. In case of difference between drawings and specifications, the specifications shall govern. In case of discrepancy in the figures, in the drawings, or in the specifications, the matter shall be promptly submitted to the Contracting Officer, who shall promptly make a determination in writing. Any adjustment by the Contractor without such a determination shall be at its own risk and expense. The Contracting Officer shall furnish from time to time such detailed drawings and other information as considered necessary, unless otherwise provided.
- (b) Wherever in the specifications or upon the drawings the words "directed", "required", "ordered", "designated", "prescribed", or words of like import are used, it shall be understood that the "direction", "requirement", "order", "designation", or "prescription", of the Contracting Officer is intended and similarly the words "approved", "acceptable", "satisfactory", or words of like import shall mean "approved by," or "acceptable to", or "satisfactory to" the Contracting Officer, unless otherwise expressly stated.
- (c) Where "as shown," as indicated", "as detailed", or words of similar import are used, it shall be understood that the reference is made to the drawings accompanying this contract unless stated otherwise. The word "provided" as used herein shall be understood to mean "provide complete in place," that is "furnished and installed".
- (d) Shop drawings means drawings, submitted to the Government by the Contractor, subcontractor, or any lower tier subcontractor pursuant to a construction contract, showing in detail
- (1) the proposed fabrication and assembly of structural elements, and
- (2) the installation (i.e., fit, and attachment details) of materials or equipment. It includes drawings, diagrams, layouts, schematics, descriptive literature, illustrations, schedules, performance and test data, and similar materials furnished by the contractor to explain in detail specific portions of the work required by the contract. The Government may duplicate, use, and disclose in any manner and for any purpose shop drawings delivered under this contract.
- (e) If this contract requires shop drawings, the Contractor shall coordinate all such drawings, and review them for accuracy, completeness, and compliance with contract requirements and shall indicate its approval thereon as evidence of such coordination and review. Shop drawings submitted to the Contracting Officer without evidence of the Contractor's approval may be returned for resubmission. The Contracting Officer will indicate an approval or disapproval of the shop drawings and if not approved as submitted shall indicate the Government's reasons therefor. Any work done before such approval shall be at the Contractor's risk. Approval by the Contracting Officer shall not relieve the Contractor from responsibility for any errors or omissions in such drawings, nor from responsibility for complying with the requirements of this contract, except with respect to variations described and approved in accordance with (f) below.
- (f) If shop drawings show variations from the contract requirements, the Contractor shall describe such variations in writing, separate from the drawings, at the time of submission. If the Contracting Officer approves any such variation, the Contracting Officer shall issue an appropriate contract modification, except that, if the variation is minor or does not involve a change in price or in time of performance, a modification need not be issued.
- (g) The Contractor shall submit to the Contracting Officer for approval four copies (unless otherwise indicated) of all shop drawings as called for under the various headings of these specifications. Three sets (unless otherwise indicated) of all shop drawings, will be retained by the Contracting Officer and one set will be returned to the Contractor.

(End of Clause)

Alternate I (Apr 1984). When record shop drawings are required and reproducible shop drawings are needed, add the following sentences to paragraph (g) of the basic clause:

Upon completing the work under this contract, the Contractor shall furnish a complete set of all shop drawings as finally approved. These drawings shall show all changes and revisions made up to the time the equipment is

Alternate II (Apr 1984). When record shop drawings are required and reproducible shop drawings are not needed, the following sentences shall be added to paragraph (g) of the basic clause:

Upon completing the work under this contract, the Contractor shall furnish \_\_\_\_\_ [Contracting Officer complete by inserting desired amount] sets of prints of all shop drawings as finally approved. These drawings shall show changes and revisions made up to the time the equipment is completed and accepted.

From: (b) (6)

To: (b) (6)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); (b) (6); (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Request USACE determination: Sharing final As-built/O&M package for Black Draw Bridge with the TON Monday, July 11, 2016 1:09:22 PM

## (b) (6)

Are you able to provide a response date forecast?

Thank you,



-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2016 11:44 AM

To: (b) (6)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Request USACE determination: Sharing final As-built/O&M package for Black Draw Bridge with the TON

# **(b) (6)**

Please let us know your roughly estimated time for a response from your KO.

There's no rush, as we of course understand the need for due diligence, but we would like to be responsive to the TON to manage their expectations.

Thank you again,



-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2016 2:32 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (7)(C) (b) (6)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6)

Subject: RE: Request USACE determination: Sharing final As-built/O&M package for Black Draw Bridge with the TON



(b) (6)

Please see the below dialogue requesting KO assessment of this contract:

#### W912PL-10-D-0026/0001

for the purpose of ascertaining whether or not the government owns the design, as-builts, shop drawings, etc. associated with the contract and has the right to provide those documents to others.

CBP further requests that, if we do own these documents, we provide recommendations to mitigate any risks associated with transferring these documents to another sovereign nation (TON). I would prefer to pose this latter question to our (SWF) counsel, but let me know if you feel otherwise.


#### Please:

1. Confirm that the subject contract was issued by SPL and identify the KO; 2. Provide KO's assessment as to ownership and release-ability of project documents.

Let me know if you would like to discuss.

Thank you,





Subject: [EXTERNAL] Request USACE determination: Sharing final As-built/O&M package for Black Draw Bridge with the TON

Good afternoon (b) (6)

I spoke with (b) (6), and he recommended I come to you to help us on this one.

#### BACKGROUND:

Tucson Sector, who is CC'd on this email (Agent (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)), brought to our attention that the Tohono O'odham Nation (TON) approached Sector for insight into what CBP had done in terms of constructing a small bridge over an arroyo/wash using a flat rail road car type design. So, Sector took them out to the Black Draw Bridge in Douglass, AZ to show them what had been built to cross a similarly sized wash to the one they're looking at on the TON. Black Draw Bridge is a crossing that was apparently designed by Baker and built under USACE contract for a crossing along a road running along VF300 fence segment FV-1B.

Apparently the TON liked Black Draw Bridge a lot, and they're seeking to potentially develop specs for a RFP to construct a similar bridge for a similarly sized wash on their land.

The 91-page document Sector is requesting to share with the TON can be found in the FITT document library.

It's under FM&E Number 3140-1,the Project Title was Black Draw\_TCA\_3140-1\_Bridge, and the title of the

document is: Black Draw Bridge O&M Manual and As-builts.

### REQUEST DETERMINATION BY A USACE CONTRACTING OFFICER:

CBP's office of counsel determined that a USACE contracting officer (CO) would be the appropriate person to determine whether and in what particular manner to share the document.

(b) (6) recollection was that LA District had contracted this work, I'm not sure.

The Contract number was W912PL-10-D-0026/0001.

CBP's office of counsel indicated that once the USACE CO reviews the document and the applicable contract language, he/she will likely then turn to the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR). So, just in an effort to help, under my signature block below is the FAR clause that, IF it was included in the contract, would appear to allow the government complete use of the as-builts (see section below highlighted in red). The only question is whether the contract included this clause, and if so, if the "as-built" drawings at issue fall under this clause's definition of "shop drawings." Nevertheless, it may assist the CO. Additionally, if USACE answers affirmatively that USACE and/or CBP may disclose the drawings to the Nation, we'd like to know if there are recommended methods for disclosure, e.g., acknowledgment by recipient that they still need to do their own independent engineering and design according the site's unique conditions, etc.

If you have any questions of CBP, please let me know.

Thank you in advance!

Very Respectfully,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C), MBA PMP

Real Estate Program Manager

LMI Government Consulting

Border Patrol Facilities & Tactical Infrastructure

Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

U.S. Customs and Border Protection

## (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing

Border Patrol's proud legacy.

FAR 52.236-21 -- Specifications and Drawings for Construction.

As prescribed in 36.521

 $< Blocked Blocked http://farsite.hill.af \ mil/reghtml/regs/far2 afmc fars/fard fars/far/36 \ htm \#P233\_37271>, insert \ the following \ clause:$ 

Specifications and Drawings for Construction (Feb 1997)

- (a) The Contractor shall keep on the work site a copy of the drawings and specifications and shall at all times give the Contracting Officer access thereto. Anything mentioned in the specifications and not shown on the drawings, or shown on the drawings and not mentioned in the specifications, shall be of like effect as if shown or mentioned in both. In case of difference between drawings and specifications, the specifications shall govern. In case of discrepancy in the figures, in the drawings, or in the specifications, the matter shall be promptly submitted to the Contracting Officer, who shall promptly make a determination in writing. Any adjustment by the Contractor without such a determination shall be at its own risk and expense. The Contracting Officer shall furnish from time to time such detailed drawings and other information as considered necessary, unless otherwise provided.
- (b) Wherever in the specifications or upon the drawings the words "directed", "required", "ordered", "designated", "prescribed", or words of like import are used, it shall be understood that the "direction", "requirement", "order", "designation", or "prescription", of the Contracting Officer is intended and similarly the words "approved", "acceptable", "satisfactory", or words of like import shall mean "approved by," or "acceptable to", or "satisfactory to" the Contracting Officer, unless otherwise expressly stated.
- (c) Where "as shown," as indicated", "as detailed", or words of similar import are used, it shall be understood that the reference is made to the drawings accompanying this contract unless stated otherwise. The word "provided" as used herein shall be understood to mean "provide complete in place," that is "furnished and installed".
- (d) Shop drawings means drawings, submitted to the Government by the Contractor, subcontractor, or any lower tier subcontractor pursuant to a construction contract, showing in detail
- (1) the proposed fabrication and assembly of structural elements, and
- (2) the installation (i.e., fit, and attachment details) of materials or equipment. It includes drawings, diagrams, layouts, schematics, descriptive literature, illustrations, schedules, performance and test data, and similar materials furnished by the contractor to explain in detail specific portions of the work required by the contract. The Government may duplicate, use, and disclose in any manner and for any purpose shop drawings delivered under this contract.
- (e) If this contract requires shop drawings, the Contractor shall coordinate all such drawings, and review them for

accuracy, completeness, and compliance with contract requirements and shall indicate its approval thereon as evidence of such coordination and review. Shop drawings submitted to the Contracting Officer without evidence of the Contractor's approval may be returned for resubmission. The Contracting Officer will indicate an approval or disapproval of the shop drawings and if not approved as submitted shall indicate the Government's reasons therefor. Any work done before such approval shall be at the Contractor's risk. Approval by the Contracting Officer shall not relieve the Contractor from responsibility for any errors or omissions in such drawings, nor from responsibility for complying with the requirements of this contract, except with respect to variations described and approved in accordance with (f) below.

- (f) If shop drawings show variations from the contract requirements, the Contractor shall describe such variations in writing, separate from the drawings, at the time of submission. If the Contracting Officer approves any such variation, the Contracting Officer shall issue an appropriate contract modification, except that, if the variation is minor or does not involve a change in price or in time of performance, a modification need not be issued.
- (g) The Contractor shall submit to the Contracting Officer for approval four copies (unless otherwise indicated) of all shop drawings as called for under the various headings of these specifications. Three sets (unless otherwise indicated) of all shop drawings, will be retained by the Contracting Officer and one set will be returned to the Contractor.

(End of Clause)

Alternate I (Apr 1984). When record shop drawings are required and reproducible shop drawings are needed, add the following sentences to paragraph (g) of the basic clause:

Upon completing the work under this contract, the Contractor shall furnish a complete set of all shop drawings as finally approved. These drawings shall show all changes and revisions made up to the time the equipment is completed and accepted.

Alternate II (Apr 1984). When record shop drawings are required and reproducible shop drawings are not needed, the following sentences shall be added to paragraph (g) of the basic clause:

Upon completing the work under this contract, the Contractor shall furnish \_\_\_\_\_ [Contracting Officer complete by inserting desired amount] sets of prints of all shop drawings as finally approved. These drawings shall show changes and revisions made up to the time the equipment is completed and accepted.

From: (b) (6)

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**Subject:** FW: NGL GSA parking lot repairs

Date: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 5:27:30 PM

Importance: High

this is email i'm referencing

### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

LMI

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 4:20 PM

To: (b) (6) ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: NGL GSA parking lot repairs

All,

(b) (6) is now engaged and working this from his high perch on a 4 legged beast!

Thanks, (b) (6), (b)

---- Original Message -----

From: (b) (6) Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 04:14 PM Eastern Standard Time

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) .; (b) (6) (b) (6) (c) (c) (c) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: NGL GSA parking lot repairs

I am the COR, matters of this nature are for thee engineer of record and I to discuss before corrective action is taken. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) is the civil engineer from Granite Construction in charge of this repair work. We talk everyday often several times a day. I see that you did not include him in this e-mail. As you will recall we met on site to discuss the scope of this work, and we left there thinking that we had a good line of communication established. You later sent an e-mail concurring with the design and the approach to make the repair. Specifically Granite was going to bring in a track hoe with a hydraulic hammer. That has occurred. Obviously this is an unforeseen site condition. I will get with contractor to discuss how we will solve the problem. I appreciate your insight and recommendation. But as the COR that will not occur until I approve it. If granite proceeds on without COR approval then Granite assumes all financial responsibility. Thanks for bringing this to my attention prior to the contractor's and my daily updates

(b) (6)

Project Engineer/COR
AZ/NV Area Office
LA Dist. USACE
3636 North Central Avenue
Suite, 500
Phoenix, AZ 85012-1939

(O) (b) (6) (M) (b) (6) (F) (b) (6) (b) (6)

----Original Message-----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 12:47 PM To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)Cc: Subject: RE: NGL GSA parking lot repairs All: Granite discovered a 6' by 6' void about an hour ago that parallels the west side corrugated pipe that they are working on. The void is a result of two things. An air pocket from a previously remediated tunnel and water seeking the weakest path for drainage when the pipe was not draining prior to this project. There is some really old shoring in the void and evidence of collapse from filling up with storm water. Solution would be to allow Granite to direct pour the same concrete (4000 psi) into the void and vibrate it. No line pump needed if they wet it enough. I estimate 4 cy max. Granite is waiting for a response on this on job site. PROBLEM: Granite did not know who to call to report the problem. FM&E and Army Corp were not on scene so Granite did not know who to call. The port parking lot security called OFO who in turn sent out a "calling all cars" alert before I could get to the job site. (I attached the email with my response to clarify the issue). I have instructed Granite to call my cell phone at any time for any future issues to prevent the need for alarm on any further questionable encounters during excavation. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Deputy Patrol Agent in Charge United States Border Patrol Nogales, Arizona DESK (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) CELL (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) ----Original Message-----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Sent: Monday, October 01, 2012 8:46 AM (b) (6) To: Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Subject: RE: NGL GSA parking lot repairs (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)) and I concur. Please proceed with performing the repairs to the water drainage modifications at the border fence. We look forward to reviewing your schedule and cost estimates. The station will have to review the schedule as well prior to any work being started for coordination. Thanks (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C Program Manager/COR, O&M Division Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office Facilities Management and Engineering Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) l(b) (6). (b) (7)(C) Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy. ----Original Message-----

From:

(b) (6)

Sent: Friday, September 28, 2012 8:28 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: Re: NGL GSA parking lot repairs

First I need CBP's support of the mission. Then I need your concurrence to go ahead with the work. A simple e-mail will do. After I receive your go ahead. Then the contractor will order the material. It will take 2 weeks to receive the materials. Meanwhile I will have the contractor to prepare a schedule and cost estimate.

-----

Message sent via my BlackBerry Wireless Device

---- Original Message -----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Friday, September 28, 2012 02:17 PM

To: (b) (6)

Subject: RE: NGL GSA parking lot repairs

What do you need from me to get started? I can coordinate with the station on any concerns they may have. Do you have dates?

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C

Program Manager/COR, O&M Division

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office Facilities Management and

Engineering

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy.

----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Friday, September 28, 2012 12:03 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: NGL GSA parking lot repairs

NO!

(b) (6)

Project Engineer/COR AZ/NV Area Office

LA Dist. USACE

3636 North Central Avenue

Suite, 500

Phoenix, AZ 85012-1939

(O) (b) (6) (M) (b) (6) (F) (b) (6)

(b) (6)

----Original Message----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Friday, September 28, 2012 11:36 AM

To: (b) (6)

Subject: RE: NGL GSA parking lot repairs

I was just thinking about this. I do - - Do we need to have a site visit? (at the ACOE DM office has drawings.

Program Manager/COR, O&M Division

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office Facilities Management and Engineering

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy.

----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6) Sent: Friday, September 28, 2012 11:32 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: FW: NGL GSA parking lot repairs

do you want me to have our contractor to pursue this work? How about now?

(b) (6)

Project Engineer/COR AZ/NV Area Office LA Dist. USACE 3636 North Central Avenue Suite, 500 Phoenix, AZ 85012-1939

(b) (6) (M) (F)

(6)

----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6) Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2012 10:53 AM

(b) (6) To:

Subject: NGL GSA parking lot repairs



Per our site visit to NGL we discussed the drainage repairs to the GSA parking lot. I need to know if I should proceed with procuring materials ( new frame and grate).

Let me know if we have the green light to do this work.

Thank you

(b) (6)

Project Engineer

Arizona Region

Direct (b) (6) | Cell (b) (6) | Fax (b) (6)

Granite\_ID\_primary\_FINAL.jpg

From: (b) (6)

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6) (b) (7)(C); (b) (6)

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] FW: RE Question / Request (DRT/(b) (7)(E)) (UNCLASSIFIED)

**Date:** Monday, June 01, 2015 10:12:49 AM

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

## (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

We understand that BPFTI does not need us to visit at this time. We are standing by to assist when needed just need some lead time.

Sincerely,

## (b) (6)

(b) (6)

Project Manager

**USACE Fort Worth District** 

CESWF-ECSO-TI

office (b) (6)

----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Monday, May 18, 2015 9:17 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C); (b) (6)

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] FW: RE Question / Request (DRT (b) (7)(E) (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

#### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Understood. We'll discuss week of 26 May on planning a visit or not.

Sincerely,

## (b) (6)

**(b) (6)** , P.E

Project Manager

**USACE Fort Worth District** 

CESWF-ECSO-TI

office (b) (6)

----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Sunday, May 17, 2015 6:04 PM To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); (b) (6) Cc: (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6) Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] FW: RE Question / Request (DRT(b) (7)(E)) (UNCLASSIFIED) OK-I'd like to attend if possible. ----Original Message-----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Sent: Friday, May 15, 2015 5:01 PM To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); (b) (6) (b) (6) Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); (b) (6) Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] FW: RE Question / Request (DRT(b) (7)(E)) (UNCLASSIFIED) (b) (6) less discuss when I come to Fort Worth to do SSEB. I viewed the site today and its not critical but we will need to conduct a site visit in the near future. ---- Original Message -----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Sent: Friday, May 15, 2015 03:55 PM (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) To: (b) (6) (b) (6) Cc: Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] FW: RE Question / Request (DRT/b) (7)(E) (UNCLASSIFIED)  $^{(b)}$  (b) -it's going to be several weeks before I can get down to (b) (7)(E). I'm OK with the team meeting with sooner is that works for him. Best, ----Original Message-----(b) (6) Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2015 10:40 AM To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Cc: (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] FW: RE Question / Request (DRT(b) (7)(E)) (UNCLASSIFIED) Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Caveats: NONE Yes Sir. We have funds on hand to support this effort. What is a good day/date for you and BP? I will check with the design team. Sincerely,

```
CESWF-ECSO-TI
office (b) (6)
----Original Message----
From:
                        (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2015 9:31 AM
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
                                                (b) (6)
Cc:
                 (b) (6), (b) (7)(C);
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] FW: RE Question / Request (DRT/(b) (7)(E)) (UNCLASSIFIED)
                                              (b) (7)(E)
                                (b) (5), (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E)
Let me know if you'd like to discuss.
Best,
----Original Message----
From:
                              (b)(6)
Sent: Monday, October 20, 2014 4:10 PP
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Ce: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C);
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] FW: RE Question / Request (DRI(b) (7)(E)) (UNCLASSIFIED)
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE
See the attached pdf. The attachment is for discussion only as the information is not geo-referenced.
                                              (b)(5)
Sincerely,
----Original Message---
From:
           (b)(6)
Sent: Monday, October 20, 2014 11:58 AM
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] FW: RE Question / Request (DRT/b) (7)(E)) (UNCLASSIFIED)
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
```

Project Manager

Caveats: NONE

USACE Fort Worth District

(b) (6)
From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  Sent: Monday, October 20, 2014 11:10 AM  To: (b) (6)  Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] FW: RE Question / Request (DRT (b) (7)(E)) (UNCLASSIFIED)
See below and provide comments please.
Respectfully,
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Program Manager / COR III
Del Rio & Big Bend Sectors
DHS/CBP
FM&E BPFTI-Tactical Infrastructure
Desk: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Email: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  Sent: Monday, October 20, 2014 10:02 AM  To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] FW: RE Question / Request (DRT(b) (7)(E)) (UNCLASSIFIED)
- See immediately below and attached for response from USACE ( (b) (6) ) to your 10/8/14 e-mail at bottom of this e-mail string. Please advise as to the caveat noted in the last paragraph of (b) (6) e-mail (i.e. can all required survey work be contained within Tract (b)(7)(E) Thanks  Thanks  Thanks
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Will get with design team and (b) (6) ASAP.

Real Estate Specialist

### LMI Government Consulting

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

"Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy"

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Monday, October 20, 2014 10:52 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cc: (b) (6)

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] FW: RE Question / Request (DRT (b) (7)(E)) (UNCLASSIFIED)


Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

(b) (6), (b)

I've attached a map showing the overlay of two PF225 tracts within the delineation of the area in question. Tract (b)(7)(E) was acquired in fee simple from the City of Eagle Pass. No ROE-S is needed to conduct survey work within this tract. (b)(7)(E) was acquired as a special estate in the City of Eagle Pass case, and is as follows:

"A perpetual and assignable easement and right-of-way in, on, over and across the land.. for the location, construction, operation, maintenance, patrol, alteration, and replacement or a border barrier and appurtenances thereto; together with the right to trim or remove any vegetative or structural obstacles that interfere with the easement and right-of-way..."



Thanks,

Realty Specialist U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Ft. Worth District, Real Estate Division

819 Taylor Street, Room 2A06

Ft. Worth, TX 76102-0300

Phone: (b) (6)

Fax: (b)  $\overline{(6)}$ 

From:

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Friday, October 10, 2014 08:32 AM

To:

(b) (6)

Subject: [EXTERNAL] FW: RE Question / Request (DRT/EaglePass)

(b) (6) - See e-mail string below (start with aerial map at bottom). Request ownership validation of delineated area and recommendations on path forward ref ROE-S, field survey, etc. Thanks. -

PS: I have added squiggly brackets to highlight the comments imbedded by (b) (6) (6) (7) in red text in one of the e-mails below, in case the color doesn't come through on your end.

# (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Real Estate Specialist

LMI Government Consulting

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

"Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy"

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Friday, October 10, 2014 9:05 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Subject: Re: RE Question

Thanks, (b) (6), (b) (7) (C) Will get this over to Corps asap. - (b) (6),

## (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Real Estate Specialist

LMI Government Consulting

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Friday, October 10, 2014 08:22 AM Eastern Standard Time

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Subject: RE: RE Question

See comment is in RED below

Respectfully,

## (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Program Manager / COR III

Del Rio & Big Bend Sectors

DHS/CBP

FM&E BPFTI-Tactical Infrastructure

Desk: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Email: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, October 09, 2014 5:32 PM


To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Ce: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: RE Question

- Can you please provide some additional detail on:

(b)(7)(E)



Thanks. -- (b) (6).

## (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Real Estate Specialist

LMI Government Consulting

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

"Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy"

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, October 09, 2014 10:14 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: Re: RE Question

Will do. Thanks for clarifying.

### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Real Estate Specialist

LMI Government Consulting

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, October 09, 2014 09:28 AM Eastern Standard Time

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Subject: RE: RE Question Ok, no problem, so ownership ID and ROE-S's in this area?

Very Respectfully,

## (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Real Estate Program Manager

LMI Government Consulting

Border Patrol Facilities & Tactical Infrastructure

Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

U.S. Customs and Border Protection

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Blackberry: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

## (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing

Border Patrol's proud legacy.

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, October 09, 2014 9:22 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: RE Question

This is a proposed future CTIMR repair effort concerning Erosion along our TI. Survey is to determine best path forward and the area which will be repaired if we pursue such an action..

Respectfully,

## (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Program Manager / COR III

Del Rio & Big Bend Sectors

DHS/CBP

FM&E BPFTI-Tactical Infrastructure

Desk: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Email: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)


From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, October 09, 2014 7:49 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Ce: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: RE Question

Good Morning (b) (6), (b) (7)(c)



Thanks!

Very Respectfully,

Real Estate Program Manager

LMI Government Consulting

Border Patrol Facilities & Tactical Infrastructure

Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

U.S. Customs and Border Protection

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Blackberry: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing

Border Patrol's proud legacy.

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2014 4:02 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE Question

Can either of you clarify who owns the property below. We need to conduct a topo survey. The area is located in Eagle Pass within the within the within the will need a ROE as well to execute.

EP-M-2C-Survey

Respectfully,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Program Manager / COR III

Del Rio & Big Bend Sectors

DHS/CBP

FM&E BPFTI-Tactical Infrastructure

Desk: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Email:

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Special Assignment....ANAPRA Fence Repair Schedule & Milestone Development. (UNCLASSIFIED)

**Date:** Tuesday, May 05, 2015 9:36:02 AM

Funny.

Yeah, the transfer of a .xer file is not critical to me. :)

Very Respectfully,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C), MBA PMP

Real Estate Program Manager LMI Government Consulting

Border Patrol Facilities & Tactical Infrastructure

Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering U.S. Customs and Border Protection

Blackberry: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy.

-----Original Message-----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, May 05, 2015 9:14 AM

 $T_{O}$ : (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Special Assignment....ANAPRA Fence Repair Schedule & Milestone Development.

(UNCLASSIFIED)

What you don't want to be in the know?? Where is the love??

-----Original Message-----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, May 05, 2015 9:12 AM

Subject: Re: Special Assignment....ANAPRA Fence Repair Schedule & Milestone Development. (UNCLASSIFIED)

It's just me speaking, but if you're discussing something that only pertains to a few people on the distribution list - please try to drop other CC's of your email. Otherwise it becomes a bit unwieldy to sort through all the message traffic.


Just a recommendation,

Thanks!

---- Original Message -----From:

Sent: Tuesday, May 05, 2015 09:08 AM Eastern Standard Time

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6)



Mobile (b) (6) (b) (6)

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Monday, May 04, 2015 6:25 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); (b) (6) ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: Special Assignment....ANAPRA Fence Repair Schedule & Milestone Development.

(UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE



Attached is our first pass at a crash schedule to get an award before Sept 30th. We did not work the RE/ENV section (nor Construction). This is .pdf, I am guessing you might want an .xer. (b) (6) , cc'ed, can send you a copy upon request.

Please let me know if you have questions,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

Western Region Program Manager

ECSO, TI Branch

**USACE Fort Worth District** 


office (b) (6)

bb **(b) (6)** 

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)


Sent: Monday, May 04, 2015 12:33 PM

To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C); (b)(6); (b)(6), (b)(7)(C); (b)(6); (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)



(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) OA / FM&E / BPFTI PMO / PPA (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  $_{(W)}$ (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (bberry) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)Sent: Monday, May 04, 2015 8:54 AM To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6) Subject: RE: Special Assignment....ANAPRA Fence Repair Schedule & Milestone Development. (UNCLASSIFIED) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Regarding the IAA. Should I used the Generic IAA fragnet, we developed in January/February for Project? Regards, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), DM, PMP Sr. Program Management Consultant (Scheduling) **Business Operations Division** E3 Federal Solutions, LLC Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office Facilities Management and Engineering Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Mobile (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)



One Action of steps and milestones will be the funding and mod to the IAA...to USACE. That could be a risk if this is not a priority within CBP...



Subject: RE: Special Assignment....ANAPRA Fence Repair Schedule & Milestone Development. (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE



(b) (6) and I will work the Design and Procurement schedule (to include funding milestones) that gets us to award and deliver that to you today. I understand that you will consolidate that with the RE and ENV activities and milestones from team. That should get us to the goal of having an initial schedule that reflects the viability of Award by Sept 30th. I think the post-Award schedule to include Construction will become clearer sometime after project launch as we dig into the details. Of course, that appears to be of secondary importance at this particular moment.

Sound like the right track?




(b) (6)

Western Region Program Manager

ECSO, TI Branch

**USACE Fort Worth District** 





Subject: [EXTERNAL] Special Assignment....ANAPRA Fence Repair Schedule & Milestone Development.

Importance: High



I appreciate the help and support you all will provide for the Border Patrol request to pre-plan, in the event BPFTI receives additional funds to repair the ANAPRA Fence in El Paso, Texas.

As requested by and and we as a team will work to develop a Schedule, with appropriate Milestones, that will demonstrate how we and the Corps can get to award by September 30, 2015.

This morning I met with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and I asked him to reach out to each of you in order to acquire the relevant activities, risks and milestones that will get us to Award on September 30, 2015.

As I see it, each of you have a part in the schedule. For example, RE/ENV will have activities and milestones to provide clearance to repair the ANAPRA fence. BusOps will have activities and milestones to provide to drive the Procurement and Funding process.

The Corps will provide the work break down structure, risks, activities and milestones to get ANAPRA Fence awarded.

In addition, before the project. has designated (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and the PM for the project.

My role is to support and bring together the team and resources for the pre-planning, and execution if it comes to that.

As you may have already seen, sent an email yesterday with the most current PDR. Please take the time to read it.

In addition, we will hold our first review of the Schedule and Milestones May 6th. An invite will be forthcoming.

Our collective goal will be to present a Schedule, Milestone and Risk Matrix to on May the 12th in Ft. Worth.

Thank you and I look forward to working with all of you.

If you have any questions, please do contact me.

Regards,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C), CBM, PMP

Tactical Infrastructure (TI)

CBP-OA-FM&E BPFTI

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (Cell)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (Office)

Washington, D.C. 20004

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) To: Subject:

RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

Date: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 8:21:08 PM

Absolutely...

----Original Message---From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 8:21 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

Not sure, although I will be discussing with him. Thanks for keeping me in the loop.

----Original Message----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 5:19 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: FW: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

FYSA.

Is there a reason why he is not including you in emails??

----Original Message----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 6:23 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6) (b)(6)

Subject: FW: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

(b) (7)(E), (b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (3)

(b) (6)

Senior Environmental Specialist

RE and Env Division

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office Facilities Management and Engineering

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)


"Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy."

----Original Message-

Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 5:55 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6) (b) (6). (b) (7)(C) Subject: RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

All,



(b) (6)
Realty Specialist
USACE - Real Estate Division
1325 J St
Sacramento, CA 95814
(b) (6)

-----Original Message----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) 

Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 1:38 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6)

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

Thanks..

et al...let me know if you need anything from me...

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 4:07 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

Please keep in the emails on this topic. I will be out of pocket for most of the remainder of the week and he is helping me out.

Thank you,

Program Manager/COR, TI Division

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure

Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy.

This e-mail, including any attached files, may contain confidential and privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, use, distribution, or disclosure by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive information for the intended recipient), please contact the sender by reply e-mail and delete all copies of this message.

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 1:22 PM

To (b) (6)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

Gentlemen,

, Tucson Sector Chief of TI M and R, just called me in a bit of a panic about this project which the state has recently given a green RE light to. The Tucson Sector maintenance worker was at the site today planning to cut the fence which parallels the highway so that M and R could begin along the revised route tomorrow when the ASLD grazing permit holder approached him and advised this worker that the fence belonged to him and that the maintenance worker had no authorization to cut it. Therefore, the bypass cannot be accessed, and equipment planned for use in performing M and R work on this road beginning tomorrow will be sitting idle.

It appears the bottom line is that we need to determine who owns the fence, which must to cut in order to follow the road alignment approved by ASLD. Any thoughts or assistance on this matter would be greatly appreciated.

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6)

Subject: RE: (D)(7)(E), (D)(3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

Date: Wednesday, January 07, 2015 7:10:56 AM

Attachments: CATEX TCA POTAN Road relocation at (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) 092414 Signed PE.docx



Attached is the background information on the (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) road relocation project to be provided to your ranch liaison. I do not want to highlight the archeological site as there has already been some looting reported at the site, but it is clear that some explanation will need to be provided to the lease in order to secure permission to move forward with this project.

## (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Senior Environmental Specialist

RE and Env Division

LMI

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure

Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

"Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy."

----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 6:23 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6) '; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6) (b) (6)

Subject: FW: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

(b) (6), (b)

(b) (7)(E), (b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (3)

thanks

#### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Senior Environmental Specialist

RE and Env Division

LMI

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office Facilities Management and Engineering

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

"Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy."

-----Original Message-----From: (b) (6) Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 5:55 PM

To:

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6)


(c) (b) (6)

(d) (e) (f) (f) (f) (f)

Subject: RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3)

M and R Project on ASLD lands

All,



(b) (6)
Realty Specialist
USACE - Real Estate Division
1325 J St
Sacramento, CA 95814
(b) (6)

-----Original Message----From:

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 1:38 PM

To:

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

Thanks..

et al...let me know if you need anything from me...

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 4:07 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

Please keep in the emails on this topic. I will be out of pocket for most of the remainder of the week and he is helping me out.

Thank you,

Program Manager/COR, TI Division

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure

Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy.

This e-mail, including any attached files, may contain confidential and privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, use, distribution, or disclosure by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive information for the intended recipient), please contact the sender by reply e-mail and delete all copies of this message.

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 1:22 PM

(b) (6)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

Gentlemen,

(b)(6)(b)(7)(C), Tucson Sector Chief of TI M and R, just called me in a bit of a panic about this project which the state has recently given a green RE light to. The Tucson Sector maintenance worker was at the site today planning to cut the fence which parallels the highway so that M and R could begin along the revised route tomorrow when the ASLD grazing permit holder approached him and advised this worker that the fence belonged to him and that the maintenance worker had no authorization to cut it. Therefore, the bypass cannot be accessed, and equipment planned for use in performing M and R work on this road beginning tomorrow will be sitting idle.

It appears the bottom line is that we need to determine who owns the fence, which must to cut in order to follow the road alignment approved by ASLD. Any thoughts or assistance on this matter would be greatly appreciated.



Senior Environmental Specialist

RE and Env Division

LMI

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure

Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

"Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy."

**Instructions:** Section I is to be completed and signed by the Environmental Program Manager/Project Manager for the Proposed Action. Sections II, III, IV, and V are to be completed and signed by agency environmental staff that are working on the Proposed Action, and finally signed by the CBP NEPA Document Signature Authority. Continue on separate sheets if necessary.

PROJECT NAME: Minor Road Relocation of (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) on AZ State Lands

# **SECTION I - Proponent Information**

1. Project Proponent:

U.S. Customs and Border Protection

Office of Border Patrol

2. Project Manager (PM):

BPFTI PMO PM

(b) (6), (b) (7)(0

2a. PM Contact Info (phone/email):

Phone: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Email: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

3. Title of Proposed Action:

Minor relocation of (b) (7)(E), (b) (3)

4. Description of Proposed Action and Alternatives: (Sufficient information must be provided to answer Section II.) These descriptions need to reflect the full action and all of the installed equipment.

(b) (7)(E), (b) (3)

This road is in urgent need of maintenance and repair. During the course of the survey of the road which was required to secure the RE from the Arizona State Lands Department, it was determined that a portion of the existing road crosses the eastern end of a significant archeological site (b)(3) CBP coordinated with the AZ SHPO and the Arizona State Lands Department to reach an agreement to relocate the existing road to ensure that future maintenance activities of the road will have no impact on the archeological site (see attached map). The new road required to bypass the archeological site will be approximately 100 meters in length. The archeological site will be marked by a professional archeologist, and the new bypass road will be constructed by the TIMR contractor. The TIMR contractor will remove the staking at the conclusion of the construction of the new route.

5. Purpose and Need for Proposed Action:

(b) (7)(E), (b) (3)

However an existing archaeological site occurs on a portion of (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) Therefore, the purpose of the proposed action is to relocate a portion of the existing road to avoid potential future maintenance and repair impacts to the significant archeological site.

6. Environmental Manager/Project Manager (Name and Title):

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

6a. Signature:

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

6b. Date:

September 24, 2014, 2014


SECTION II—Environmental Staff: Proposed Action Clearly Fits a Category of Excludable Actions (DHS Directive 023-01)

DHS MI Remarks D1: M faciliti spaces conser total h enviro	D 023-01 se entire is: linor re ies that s of an rvation leight to ment	Proposed Action does not clearly fit within any of the categories of excludable actions set forth in DHS MD 023-01. enovations and additions to buildings, roads, airfields, grounds, equipment, and other to do not result in a change in the functional use of the real property (e.g. realigning interior existing building, adding a small storage shed to an existing building, retrofitting for energy to or installing a small antenna on an already existing antenna tower that does not cause the o exceed 200 feet and where the FCC would not require an environmental assessment or al impact statement for the installation).			
		II—Environmental Staff: Analysis as to Whether the Proposed Action is a Part of a on (DHS Directive 023-01)			
8. ⋈	Propos	sed Action <u>Is Not</u> a Piece of a Larger Action OR sed Action <u>Is</u> a Piece of a Larger Action.			
Remarks: The proposed road relocation project is not part of a larger action, and will not result in any significant environmental impact (direct or indirect) when added to other past, present and reasonably foreseeable actions known at this time.					
SECTION IV—Environmental Staff: Extraordinary Circumstances Analysis (DHS Directive 023-01)					
9. Ext	traordi	nary Circumstances:			
□ Based on my review of the information that has been provided to me and that I have in my possession, no extraordinary circumstances apply to the Proposed Action (see A through K below); OR					
☐ Based on my review of the information that has been provided to me and that I have in my possession, at least one extraordinary circumstance does apply to the Proposed Action (see A through K below).  Therefore, a CATEX does NOT apply.					
YES	NO	For A through K below, check the appropriate box (YES or NO) and provide description as appropriate.			
	$\boxtimes$	A. Will the Proposed Action have a potentially significant effect on public health or safety?  *Remarks: The proposed action will have no measurable effect on public health or safety.			
	$\boxtimes$	B. Will the Proposed Action significantly affect species or habitats protected by the Endangered Species Act, Marine Mammal Protection Act, the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, or the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act.?			
		Remarks: The project is not located in suitable or critical habitat for any listed species, therefore the proposed action will have no effect on listed or other federally protected species or their habitat.			
		Section reviewed by: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)			
	$\boxtimes$	C. Will the Proposed Action significantly affect a district, site, highway, structure, or object			

that is listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places, or will it significantly affect historic or cultural resources, traditional or sacred sites, or result in the

	destruction of a significant scientific, cultural, or historic resource?		
	Remarks: A cultural resource survey for the entire length of the (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) has been completed. This survey recommended a minor road relocation to avoid a significant archeological site (b)(3) In subsequent discussions with both the AZ SHPO and the AZ State Land Department Archeologist, an agreement was reached to relocate the existing road. Based on that agreement, the Arizona SHPO has concurred the proposed relocation will have no effect on historic properties (see attached letter).		
	D. Will the Proposed Action significantly affect an environmentally sensitive area?		
	<i>Remarks:</i> This proposed realignment is not located in an environmentally sensitive area. The vegetation in this area consists of scattered creosotebush and exotic grasses. There are no sensitive, rare or unique vegetation associations which will be impacted by the proposed disturbance of an estimated 0.14 acres of locally common vegetation.		
	E. Will the Proposed Action result in a potential or threatened violation of a federal, state, or local law or administrative determination imposed for protection of the environment?		
	Remarks: The proposed action will not violate any Federal, state, or local law.		
	F. Will the Proposed Action result in an effect on the quality of the human environment that is likely to be highly controversial, highly uncertain, or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		
	Remarks: The proposed action involves the relocation of an existing road to avoid an archaeological site using typical construction processes. The proposed action will not have an adverse effect on the human environment and will not be controversial or involve unique or unknown environmental risks.		
	G. Will the Proposed Action employ new or unproven technology that is likely to involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		
	<i>Remarks:</i> The proposed action does not involve the use of any unproven technologies as it involves the relocation of an existing road to avoid an archaeological site using typical construction processes.		
$\boxtimes$	H. Will the Proposed Action set a precedent for future actions that have significant effects?		
	<i>Remarks</i> : The proposed action will not set a precedent for future actions that have significant effects.		
	I. Is the Proposed Action significantly greater in scope or size than is normally experienced for this particular category of action?		
	<i>Remarks:</i> The proposed action involves the relocation of an existing road using typical equipment and processes. The proposed action is not greater in scope or size than is normally experienced for this category of action.		
	J. Will the Proposed Action significantly degrade an already poor environmental condition at or near the project area?		
	<i>Remarks:</i> The proposed action will improve local environmental conditions by protecting a significant cultural resource.		

	$\boxtimes$	K. Is the Proposed Action related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant impacts?				
		Remarks: There are no other actions currently planned or known for this road.				
SECTION V – Environmental Analysis Determination						
10. ⊠ Proposed Action Qualifies for Categorical Exclusion (CATEX) # D1 (See Table 1); OR ☐ Proposed Action does NOT qualify for a CATEX and further environmental analysis is required.						
11. <i>Remarks:</i> Based on a review of the information provided for the proposed action, there are no extraordinary circumstances associated with the action and therefore the action qualifies as a CATEX #D1 under DHS Directive 023-01.						
12. Project Proponent Name and Title:			12a. OBP Proponent Signature:	12b. Date:		
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)						
Director-Border Patrol TI SPPA-ORMB						
Headqu (b) (6), (t	o) (7)(C)	Border Patrol Office BB				
13. BP		O Signature Authority Name and	13a. Program Management Signature Authority Signature:	13b. Date:		
(b) (6), (	b) (7)(C)	Branch Chief	(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	September 24, 2014		



OCT 1 8 2013

(b) (6)
Deputy State Historic Preservation Office
1300 W. Washington Street
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Dear (b) (6)

U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) is proposing to conduct grading and other routine road maintenance activities along an unnamed dirt road (referred to here and in the attached cultural report as (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) in Pima County, Arizona pursuant to Application Number 14-117549 issued by the Arizona State Land Department. In compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Management Directive 023-01, and the Arizona Antiquities Act, CBP has determined that, because of the nature and scope of the undertaking, the proposed project has the potential to cause effects to historic properties.

The area of potential effect (APE) for the maintenance project is limited a 30 foot wide right-of-way (ROW) along approximately 2 miles of road extending north from (b) (7)(E). (b) (3) to an unnamed location used for housing CBP monitoring equipment.

Prior cultural resource surveys had identified one cultural resource (site within the project area. This site is located (b) (7)(E), (b) (3)

Road.

HRA, Inc. conducted a cultural resource survey of the APE for the project. The results of that survey are described in the attached report: A Cultural Resource Survey of Approximately 2 Miles of (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) Pima County, Arizona (October 2013). HRA relocated the previously identified site, and recorded one new archaeological site (Table 1).

Table 1. Cultural Resources Present in the Project Area

THOSE IT CURTAIN RECOGNICES FEEDERS IN the Freject Fired							
Site Number	Description	Eligibility Recommendation					
(h)(3)	Prehistoric artifact scatter	Eligible					
(D)(J)	Historic artifact scatter/campsite	Not Eligible					

Site (b)(3) is a previously recorded site that is described as a moderate density, prehistoric artifact scatter, including six ground stone artifacts, hundreds of ceramics and flaked stone artifacts, and one possible feature (a rock concentration). HRA relocated the site, and identified numerous additional ground stone artifacts (16 total), and one additional feature. Although HRA feels that both features are more likely to be historic or modern rather than prehistoric, HRA also feels there is ample evidence for depth on the site and concurs with the previous recommendation of the site as Eligible to both the State and National Registers of Historic Places under criterion D.

(b) (6) Page 2

Site (b)(3) is a newly recorded site that consists of a scatter of historic artifacts, and one feature. Two distinct concentrations of artifacts are present on the site, one that appears to be related to the feature, and a second concentration that may represent an unrelated dumping episode. The feature is the remains of an historic campfire ring, while the artifacts probably date to the mid- to late-1950s. Because there is no evidence for depth on the site, and the current recording has exhausted the information potential of the site, HRA recommends that the site is Not Eligible to the State or National Registers of Historic Places.

CBP agrees with HRA's recommendations that site and National Register under criterion D, while or National Register.

(b)(3) is eligible for the State is not eligible for the State.

Following discussions with your office and the Arizona State Lands Department, CBP has determined that while there is no surficial evidence that past road use and maintenance have resulted in adverse effects to (b)(3) along the northeastern edge of the site, where the artifact density is extremely sparse, CBP cannot be certain that future road maintenance will have no effect on this site. Therefore, CBP proposes to relocate the existing road to the east to entirely avoid the site, as depicted on the attached diagram. Based on this proposed course of action, CBP has determined the proposed undertaking will have No Adverse Effect to any historic properties.

Letters of interest have previously been sent to the Hopi, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Gila River Indian Community, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Tribe, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe and Arizona State Land Department. The Tohono O'odham Nation, Gila River Indian Community and Hopi Tribe have all requested to be consulting parties on the propose action and will therefore be sent copies of the cultural resources survey report for review and comment.

I request your concurrence with the eligibility determinations, and with the recommendation of No Adverse Effect for the proposed action. A signature line below is provided to facilitate your response. I request that you return the signed document via email to me at

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

If you have questions or concerns about this project, please content.

Sincerely,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Environmental Branch Chief Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office

Enclosures

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

To: (b) (6) ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (c: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

**Date:** Friday, January 23, 2015 10:27:30 AM

Thank you,

# (b) (6), (b) (7)(C

Program Manager/COR, TI Division

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure

Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy.

This e-mail, including any attached files, may contain confidential and privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, use, distribution, or disclosure by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive information for the intended recipient), please contact the sender by reply e-mail and delete all copies of this message.

-----Original Message----From: (b) (6)

Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2015 10:08 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); (b) (6) ;(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

- I checked in yesterday and this morning. The ROW administrator is out of the office today. I will try back tomorrow and press for an answer out of the two departments.

# (b) (6)

Realty Specialist

USACE - Real Estate Division

1325 J St

Sacramento, CA 95814

(b) (6)

-----Original Message----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2015 7:42 AM
To: (b) (6) ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); (b) (6) Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

(b) (6) have you received any updates on (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) road access? If you need anything from me please let me know.

Thank you,

#### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C

Program Manager/COR, TI Division

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office Facilities Management and Engineering

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy.

This e-mail, including any attached files, may contain confidential and privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, use, distribution, or disclosure by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive information for the intended recipient), please contact the sender by reply e-mail and delete all copies of this message.

```
-----Original Message-----
From: (b) (6)
Sent: Monday, January 12, 2015 12:09 PM
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands
```

All

No decision yet from the State. The ROW department needs to confer with the range/lease department regarding whether or not the range/lease department will allow access for maintenance of the road prior to a signed ROW. We have verbal permission from the ROW department, but the range/lease department was unaware of our application and permission. The range department is reviewing our application and I've been told to expect a decision next week.

# (b) (6)

Realty Specialist

**USACE** - Real Estate Division

1325 J St

Sacramento, CA 95814

(b) (6)

```
-----Original Message-----
From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, January 08, 2015 10:44 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6) ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) .: (b) (6)
```

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

All,

The ranch owner is Pima County and their ranch manager, was the individual that asked that the fence not be cut.

(b) (6) has now been working with the State and the Grazing lease hold Pima County. Pima County has agreed to allow the cut, as look as the state approves it. (b) (6) is getting that approval. (b) (6) is should have answers tomorrow. In the interim. We would ask that no one talk to the ranch manager or the State.

We will get back to you tomorrow. I want to thank (b) (6) for all his work on this matter.



#### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Real Estate Program Manager

Real Estate and Environmental Services Division Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office Facilities Management and Engineering

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
BB: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Email: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

"Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy."

----Original Message----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, January 07, 2015 7:11 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Ce: (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands



Attached is the background information on the (b) (7)(E) road relocation project to be provided to your ranch liaison. I do not want to highlight the archeological site as there has already been some looting reported at the site, but it is clear that some explanation will need to be provided to the lease in order to secure permission to move forward with this project.

#### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Senior Environmental Specialist

RE and Env Division

LMI

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office Facilities Management and Engineering

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

"Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy."

-----Original Message-----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)


Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 6:23 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: FW: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands





#### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Senior Environmental Specialist

RE and Env Division

LMI

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office Facilities Management and Engineering

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

"Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy."

----Original Message----

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 5:55 PM

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) To: (b) (6)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

All,

(5)

(b) (6)

Realty Specialist

**USACE - Real Estate Division** 

1325 J St

Sacramento, CA 95814

(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) From:

Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 1:38 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6)

Thanks..

et al...let me know if you need anything from me...

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 4:07 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) ); (b) (6)

(Daniel.C.Fodrini@usace.army.mil); BRUNNER, ROBERT J

Cc: ANDERSON, ABEL

Subject: RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

Please keep in the emails on this topic. I will be out of pocket for most of the remainder of the week and he is helping me out.

Thank you,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Program Manager/COR, TI Division

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure

Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy.

This e-mail, including any attached files, may contain confidential and privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, use, distribution, or disclosure by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive information for the intended recipient), please contact the sender by reply e-mail and delete all copies of this message.

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 1:22 PM

To: (b) (6)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

Gentlemen,

Tucson Sector Chief of TI M and R, just called me in a bit of a panic about this project which the state has recently given a green RE light to. The Tucson Sector maintenance worker was at the site today planning to cut the fence which parallels the highway so that M and R could begin along the revised route tomorrow when the ASLD grazing permit holder approached him and advised this worker that the fence belonged to him and that the maintenance worker had no authorization to cut it. Therefore, the bypass cannot be accessed, and equipment planned for use in performing M and R work on this road beginning tomorrow will be sitting idle.

It appears the bottom line is that we need to determine who owns the fence, which must to cut in order to follow the road alignment approved by ASLD. Any thoughts or assistance on this matter would be greatly appreciated.



Senior Environmental Specialist

RE and Env Division

LMI

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure

Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

"Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy."

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6) ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: Re: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

**Date:** Friday, February 20, 2015 11:52:10 AM

#### Folks,

Yesterday, CBP received additional comments on the archeological "treatment" plan for arch sites within the road footprint on ASLD lands from the SHPO. I would characterize these comments as minimal and relatively easy to address. Our attempt from the outset on this effort has been to get the SHPO and archeologist from ASLD moving forward together (since both offices will ultimately have to sign off). While SHPO has been responsive (and an agreement with that office for all 45 miles of TIMR roads on ASLD lands is very close as described above), the ASLD archeologist has not been responsive. For this reason, I am not sure how close we are to agreement with that office. SHPO has agreed to help try to get the ASLD archeologist engaged.



---- Original Message -----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Friday, February 20, 2015 11:36 AM Eastern Standard Time

To: (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

Hi how did the meeting go with the state the other day? Just to understand...are these meeting in reference to all state land issues or just the Paboquavari mountains too as well as other areas across the state.

Thank you,

### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Program Manager/COR, TI Division

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure

Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy.

This e-mail, including any attached files, may contain confidential and privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, use, distribution, or disclosure by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive information for the intended recipient), please contact the sender by reply e-mail and delete all copies of this message.

```
-----Original Message-----
From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2015 8:42 AM

To: (b) (6) ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); (b) (6) ;(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands
```

have you received any updates on (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) road access? If you need anything from me please let me

know.

Thank you,

Program Manager/COR, TI Division

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office Facilities Management and Engineering

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy.

This e-mail, including any attached files, may contain confidential and privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, use, distribution, or disclosure by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive information for the intended recipient), please contact the sender by reply e-mail and delete all copies of this message.

```
----Original Message-----
From:
Sent: Monday, January 12, 2015 12:09 PM
To:
                                 (6), (b) (7)(C)
                                                                     (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
             (b) (6), (b)
                                                      (b) (6)
Cc:
Subject: RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands
```

All

No decision yet from the State. The ROW department needs to confer with the range/lease department regarding whether or not the range/lease department will allow access for maintenance of the road prior to a signed ROW. We have verbal permission from the ROW department, but the range/lease department was unaware of our application and permission. The range department is reviewing our application and I've been told to expect a decision next week.

(b) (6) Realty Specialist **USACE** - Real Estate Division 1325 J St

Sacramento, CA 95814 (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

```
----Original Message-----
From:
Sent: Thursday, January 08, 2015 10:44 AM
To:
                (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
         (b) (6)
Cc:
                                           (b) (7)(C)
                                                                                    (b) (6)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands
```

All,

The ranch owner is Pima County and their ranch manager, was the individual that asked that the fence not be cut. (b) (6) has now been working with the State and the Grazing lease hold Pima County. Pima County has agreed to allow the cut, as look as the state approves it. (b) (6) is getting that approval. (b) (6) is should have answers tomorrow. In the interim. We would ask that no one talk to the ranch manager or the State.

We will get back to you tomorrow. I want to thank (b) (6) for all his work on this matter.



#### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Real Estate Program Manager

Real Estate and Environmental Services Division Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office Facilities Management and Engineering

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
BB: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Email:  $(b) (6), \overline{(b) (7)(C)}$ 

"Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy."

----Original Message----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, January 07, 2015 7:11 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Ce: (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6) (b) (6)

Subject: RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands



Attached is the background information on the (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) road relocation project to be provided to your ranch liaison. I do not want to highlight the archeological site as there has already been some looting reported at the site, but it is clear that some explanation will need to be provided to the lease in order to secure permission to move forward with this project.

#### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Senior Environmental Specialist

RE and Env Division

LMI

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office Facilities Management and Engineering

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

"Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy."

----Original Message----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 6:23 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6) (b) (6) .; (b) (6) (b) (6)

Subject: FW: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands



(b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (7)(E), (b) (3)

#### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Senior Environmental Specialist

RE and Env Division

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office Facilities Management and

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

"Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy."

----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 5:55 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

All,

(5)

(b) (6)

Realty Specialist **USACE** - Real Estate Division

1325 J St

Sacramento, CA 95814

(b) (6)

----Original Message-----

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) From:

Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 1:38 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) ; (b) (6) ; Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Thanks..

et al...let me know if you need anything from me...

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 4:07 PM

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6) (b) (6) ; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Subject: RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

Please keep in the emails on this topic. I will be out of pocket for most of the remainder of the week and he is helping me out.

Thank you,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Program Manager/COR, TI Division

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure

Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy.

This e-mail, including any attached files, may contain confidential and privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, use, distribution, or disclosure by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive information for the intended recipient), please contact the sender by reply e-mail and delete all copies of this message.

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 1:22 PM

To: (b) (6) (b) (6)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

Gentlemen,

Tucson Sector Chief of TI M and R, just called me in a bit of a panic about this project which the state has recently given a green RE light to. The Tucson Sector maintenance worker was at the site today planning to cut the

fence which parallels the highway so that M and R could begin along the revised route tomorrow when the ASLD grazing permit holder approached him and advised this worker that the fence belonged to him and that the maintenance worker had no authorization to cut it. Therefore, the bypass cannot be accessed, and equipment planned for use in performing M and R work on this road beginning tomorrow will be sitting idle.

It appears the bottom line is that we need to determine who owns the fence, which must to cut in order to follow the road alignment approved by ASLD. Any thoughts or assistance on this matter would be greatly appreciated.



Senior Environmental Specialist

RE and Env Division

LMI

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure

Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

"Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy."

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands Subject: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 8:17:42 PM Date: (b) (5) Ok. Thanks.. From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 6:45 PM (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6) (b) (6)Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Subject: Re: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands I had to double up the crews on the border road until we get this or the private property issue resolved. I have them working... Thank You, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) PM/COR, TI Division Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Sent from my blackberry From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 03:08 PM (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) To: (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Subject: RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands Thanks. I need to know impact to CTIMR commencing tomorrow. From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 4:50 PM To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)Subject: RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands I attempted to call He is not in and He (b) (6) I will be working on this in the morning. We can determine the grazing ranchers interests.



#### (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Real Estate Program Manager

Real Estate and Environmental Services Division Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office Facilities Management and Engineering

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
BB: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Email: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

"Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy."

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 3:22 PM

To: (b) (6) (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

Gentlemen,

the state has recently given a green RE light to. The Tucson Sector maintenance worker was at the site today planning to cut the fence which parallels the highway so that M and R could begin along the revised route tomorrow when the ASLD grazing permit holder approached him and advised this worker that the fence belonged to him and that the maintenance worker had no authorization to cut it. Therefore, the bypass cannot be accessed, and equipment planned for use in performing M and R work on this road beginning tomorrow will be sitting idle.

It appears the bottom line is that we need to determine who owns the fence, which must to cut in order to follow the road alignment approved by ASLD. Any thoughts or assistance on this matter would be greatly appreciated.

# (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Senior Environmental Specialist RE and Env Division

I M I

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

"Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy."

From: To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Cc: (b) (6) Subject: Re: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands Date: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 6:48:55 PM It hasn't happen yet. I am in h\*lf day in afternoon tomorrow, on job walks all tomorrow, and off on Friday for use or lose. Thank You, PM/COR, TI Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Division (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Sent from my blackberry ---- Original Message -----From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 04:22 PM To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Cc: (b) (6)(b) (6), (b) (7)(C (b) (6), (b) (7)(C (b)(6)Subject: FW: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands (b) (5), (b) (6), (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Senior Environmental Specialist RE and Env Division LMI Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office Facilities Management and Engineering Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) "Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy." ----Original Message--From: Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 5:55 PM To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6)Subject: RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands All, (b) (5)

# (b) (5)

(b) (6)

Realty Specialist USACE - Real Estate Division

1325 J St

Sacramento, CA 95814

(b) (6)

Original Message		
From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)		
Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 1:38 PM		
To: $(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)$ $(b) (6)$ ;	(b) (6)	; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands		
(b) (6), (b)		
Thanks		
et allet me know if you need anything from me		
From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)		
Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 4:07 PM		
To: (b) (6), (b) $(7)(C)$ (b) (6)		
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	<del>_</del>	
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)		
Subject: RE: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands		

Please keep in the emails on this topic. I will be out of pocket for most of the remainder of the week and he is helping me out.

Thank you,



Program Manager/COR, TI Division

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure

Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy.

This e-mail, including any attached files, may contain confidential and privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, use, distribution, or disclosure by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive information for the intended recipient), please contact the sender by reply e-mail and delete all copies of this message.

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(

Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 1:22 PM

To: (b) (6)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: (b) (7)(E), (b) (3) M and R Project on ASLD lands

Gentlemen,

Tucson Sector Chief of TI M and R, just called me in a bit of a panic about this project which the state has recently given a green RE light to. The Tucson Sector maintenance worker was at the site today planning to cut the fence which parallels the highway so that M and R could begin along the revised route tomorrow when the ASLD grazing permit holder approached him and advised this worker that the fence belonged to him and that the maintenance worker had no authorization to cut it. Therefore, the bypass cannot be accessed, and equipment planned for use in performing M and R work on this road beginning tomorrow will be sitting idle.

It appears the bottom line is that we need to determine who owns the fence, which must to cut in order to follow the road alignment approved by ASLD. Any thoughts or assistance on this matter would be greatly appreciated.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C

Senior Environmental Specialist

RE and Env Division

LMI

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure

Program Management Office

Facilities Management and Engineering

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

"Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy."